



February 2025

PERSISTENT CHEMICALS

DOD Needs to Provide Congress More Information on Costs Associated with Addressing PFAS

On February 25, 2025, GAO reissued this report to include comments from the Department of Defense in Appendix VII.

Why GAO Did This Study

DOD has spent \$2.6 billion since 2017 addressing PFAS releases. PFAS are a large group of chemicals developed decades ago that can persist in the environment and cause adverse health effects. DOD's use of certain firefighting agents and other activities, such as metal plating, have led to PFAS releases from DOD installations, potentially exposing service members, their families, and surrounding communities. Investigating and cleaning up PFAS releases is an endeavor that could take DOD decades and billions of dollars to complete.

The National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2024 includes a provision for GAO to review DOD's efforts to test and remediate PFAS contamination. This report (1) describes the status of DOD's efforts, and (2) assesses DOD's pace, thoroughness, and cost of its efforts, among other things. GAO analyzed DOD's PFAS-related reports to Congress, reviewed DOD and Environmental Protection Agency guidance and regulations, and interviewed officials.

What GAO Recommends

GAO recommends that DOD provide additional information to Congress on total fiscal exposure related to PFAS investigation and cleanup and a detailed explanation and examples of changing key cost drivers. DOD partially concurred and GAO modified the recommendation based on DOD's feedback.

View [GAO-25-107401](#). For more information, contact Alissa Czyz, 202-512-4300 or CzyzA@gao.gov.


PERSISTENT CHEMICALS

DOD Needs to Provide Congress More Information on Costs Associated with Addressing PFAS

What GAO Found

As of June 2024, the Department of Defense (DOD) had completed preliminary assessments and site inspections for per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS) at nearly all 718 installations identified to have a potential PFAS release and had proceeded to the next step of the cleanup process at more than half. No locations have entered the long-term cleanup phases.


Department of Defense (DOD) Actions Related to Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances (PFAS) at Current or Former Military Installations, as of June 2024



Source: GAO analysis of Department of Defense information. | GAO-25-107401

DOD efforts to investigate its PFAS releases have generally been thorough, and the department reports on the pace and cost of its efforts to Congress. DOD estimates that its future PFAS investigation and cleanup costs will total more than \$9.3 billion in fiscal year 2025 and beyond. DOD's estimated future PFAS investigation and cleanup costs have more than tripled since 2022. GAO found that costs will continue to increase as DOD learns more about the extent of its PFAS releases—including the breadth and depth—through remedial investigations and determines what cleanup actions are required.

Department of Defense (DOD) Estimated Future Costs for the Investigation and Cleanup of Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances (PFAS) by Fiscal Year



Source: GAO analysis of DOD PFAS Reports to Congress. | GAO-25-107401

DOD has not communicated to Congress the full range of cost variables for future PFAS cleanup, referred to as total fiscal exposure. By including a detailed explanation and examples of changing key cost drivers for PFAS investigation and cleanup in its semi-annual cost report to Congress or other congressional reporting mechanism, Congress will be better equipped to make decisions regarding future funding for PFAS investigation and cleanup activities.

Contents

Letter		1
	Background	3
	DOD Has Completed Nearly All Preliminary Assessments and Site Inspections of PFAS Releases, and Some Remediation Efforts Are Underway	14
	DOD Assesses Pace, Thoroughness, and Cost of Its PFAS Testing and Remediation Efforts, but Full Costs Are Unknown	19
	DOD Is Taking Steps to Address Challenges with Remediating PFAS	30
	Conclusions	37
	Recommendation for Executive Action	38
	Agency Comments and Our Evaluation	38
Appendix I	Objectives, Scope, and Methodology	42
Appendix II	Overview of GAO Work on Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances	47
Appendix III	Summary of the Department of Defense's Cleanup Process Under Selected Legislation	51
Appendix IV	Details on Specific Types of Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances Subject to Federal Guidance and Regulation	54
Appendix V	Timeline of Selected Federal Actions Related to Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances	55
Appendix VI	Selected Department of Defense Interim Removal Actions to Address Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances	58
Appendix VII	Comments from the Department of Defense	61

Tables

Table 1: Types of Department of Defense (DOD) Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances (PFAS) Remediation, Disposal, and Destruction Options	14
Table 2: Department of Defense (DOD) On-Base Interim Removal Actions to Address Releases of Certain Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances (PFAS), as of June 2024	18
Table 3: Examples of Department of Defense's (DOD) Efforts to Investigate Releases or Potential Releases of Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances (PFAS)	23
Table 4: Department of Defense (DOD) Actual and Estimated Costs for the Investigation and Cleanup of Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances (PFAS) at Military Installations, as of May 2024 (in millions)	24
Table 5: Select Levels for Certain Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances (PFAS) Established by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Department of Defense (DOD) since 2016 and DOD's Use of Those Level During PFAS Investigation and Cleanup	33
Table 6: Types of Department of Defense (DOD) On-Base Interim Removal Actions, by DOD Component, to Address Releases of Certain Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances (PFAS), as of June 30, 2024	58
Table 7: Examples of Department of Defense (DOD) Off-Base Interim Removal Action Performed to Address Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances (PFAS) in Drinking Water and Groundwater	60

Figures

Figure 1: Examples of How Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances (PFAS) Can Enter the Environment and Drinking Water	5
Figure 2: Department of Defense's (DOD) Process for Implementing the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980, as amended (CERCLA) Cleanup Process to Address	

Releases of Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances (PFAS) from DOD Activities	8
Figure 3: Department of Defense (DOD) Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances (PFAS) Cleanup Status of Current or Former Military Installations, as of June 30, 2024	15
Figure 4: Department of Defense (DOD) Progress Since 2020 in Completing Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances (PFAS) Preliminary Assessments and Site Inspections, as of June 2024	20
Figure 5: Department of Defense (DOD) Progress Since 2020 in Beginning Remedial Investigations and Feasibility Studies to address Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances (PFAS), as of June 2024	21
Figure 6: Department of Defense (DOD) Estimated Future Costs, by Fiscal Year, for the Investigation and Cleanup of Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances (PFAS) at Military Installations, as of May 2024	25
Figure 7: Summary of Recent Selected Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA) Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances (PFAS) Related Actions and the Department of Defense's (DOD) Response	56

Abbreviations

AFFF	Aqueous Film Forming Foam
BRAC	Base Realignment and Closure
CERCLA	Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980
DOD	Department of Defense
DLA	Defense Logistics Agency
EPA	Environmental Protection Agency
FUDS	Formerly Used Defense Sites
HFPO-DA	hexafluoropropylene oxide dimer acid
NCP	National Oil and Hazardous Substances Pollution Contingency Plan
PFAS	per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances
PFBA	perfluorobutanoic acid
PFBS	perfluorobutanesulfonic acid
PFHxA	perfluorohexanoic acid
PFHxS	perfluorohexanesulfonic acid
PFNA	perfluorononanoic acid
PFOA	perfluorooctanoic acid
PFOS	perfluorooctane sulfonate
PFPrA	perfluoropropanoic acid
ppt	parts per trillion
RCRA	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976, as amended
TFSI	bis(trifluoromethylsulfonyl)amine

This is a work of the U.S. government and is not subject to copyright protection in the United States. The published product may be reproduced and distributed in its entirety without further permission from GAO. However, because this work may contain copyrighted images or other material, permission from the copyright holder may be necessary if you wish to reproduce this material separately.



February 24, 2025

Congressional Committees

The Department of Defense (DOD) has spent \$2.6 billion since 2017 investigating and cleaning up per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS), chemicals that are heat and stain resistant and can persist in the environment—including in water, soil, and air—for decades or longer. Investigating where PFAS may have been released and cleaning them up are complex and time intensive processes that have been affected by evolving regulations, emerging technologies, barriers presented by the natural environment, and a changing understanding of the potential risks posed by these chemicals.

PFAS are found in many consumer products, as well as in industrial products such as certain firefighting agents called aqueous film forming foam (AFFF). Since 1970, DOD used AFFF to extinguish fires quickly and keep them from reigniting, and until recently, AFFF was the designated firefighting agent for fuel fires at military facilities. Use of AFFF for fire training and suppression, accidental spills, and other activities in and around DOD installations, has led to releases of PFAS into the environment, potentially exposing service members, their families, and surrounding community members to these chemicals.

According to the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), exposure to certain PFAS—such as perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA) and perfluorooctane sulfonate (PFOS)¹—may have adverse effects on human health, including effects on fetal development, the immune system, and the thyroid, and may cause liver damage and cancer.² DOD has developed strategic performance goals to address past DOD PFAS releases and to find and implement alternatives to the use of AFFF.³

¹An alternative name for perfluorooctane sulfonate is perfluorooctanesulfonic acid; both refer to the same chemical, which is abbreviated as PFOS.

²PFAS refers to a group of thousands of chemicals; however, DOD's PFAS investigation and cleanup activities are focused on 10 specific chemicals. For more information about these specific chemicals, see appendix I. For the purposes of this report, when we referred to "PFAS" generally, we are referring to this group of 10 chemicals. When activities were specific to a PFAS chemical, the chemical(s) are specified.

³Department of Defense, *DOD Strategic Management Plan Fiscal Years 2022-2026* (Mar. 6, 2023).

Our prior work has examined the department's efforts to investigate PFAS, respond to contamination, eliminate the use of AFFF, and report future costs to Congress.⁴ For example, in June 2021 we found that DOD had not reported future PFAS investigation and cleanup cost estimates, or the scope and limitations of those estimates, in its annual environmental reports to Congress.⁵ We recommended that the department annually include the latest cost estimates for future PFAS investigation and cleanup in DOD's environmental reports to Congress. Thereafter, DOD issued its fiscal year 2020 environmental report to Congress in March 2022, which includes estimated future PFAS remediation costs.⁶ Additionally, we have examined current and promising technologies and methods that could improve the detection and treatment of PFAS in the environment and provided policy options to Congress and other stakeholders that could enhance benefits or mitigate challenges of these technologies.⁷

The National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2024 includes a provision for us to examine the state of ongoing testing and remediation by DOD of current or former military installations contaminated with PFAS.⁸ This report 1) describes the status of DOD's efforts to test and remediate PFAS at current or former military installations; 2) assesses the extent to which DOD has analyzed whether its efforts to test and remediate PFAS are on pace, thorough, and cost-effective; and (3)

⁴See GAO, *Firefighting Foam: DOD Is Working to Address Challenges to Transitioning to PFAS-Free Alternatives*, [GAO-24-107322](#) (Washington, D.C.: July 8, 2024); *Persistent Chemicals: Navy Efforts to Address PFAS at Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam*, [GAO-24-106812](#) (Washington, D.C.: Apr. 15, 2024); *Persistent Chemicals: Actions Needed to Improve DOD's Ability to Prevent the Procurement of Items Containing PFAS*, [GAO-23-105982](#) (Washington, D.C.: Apr. 26, 2023); and *Persistent Chemicals: Detecting, Limiting Exposure to, and Treating PFAS Contamination*, [GAO-23-106970](#) (Washington, D.C.: Sept. 2023).

⁵GAO, *Firefighting Foam Chemicals: DOD Is Investigating PFAS and Responding to Contamination, but Should Report More Cost Information*, [GAO-21-421](#) (Washington, D.C.: June 22, 2021).

⁶See Office of the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition and Sustainment, *Defense Environmental Programs Annual Report to Congress for Fiscal Year 2020* (Mar. 2022).

⁷GAO, *Persistent Chemicals: Technologies for PFAS Assessment, Detection, and Treatment*, [GAO-22-105088](#) (Washington, D.C.: Jul. 28, 2022).

⁸National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2024, Pub. L. No. 118-31, 137 Stat. 136 (2023).

discusses the steps taken to address challenges related to testing and remediation of PFAS at current or former military installations.

To address these objectives, we obtained and analyzed data from fiscal years 2017 to June 2024 on the status of the department's efforts to investigate and cleanup PFAS. To identify any trends in DOD's efforts, we also analyzed the department's PFAS investigation and cleanup progress reports submitted to Congress. In addition, we randomly selected a nongeneralizable sample of 18 installations allocated across a range of cost and pace categories and analyzed certain DOD reports from those installations to identify, among other things, specific activities that demonstrate thoroughness, including environmental analyses, land surveying, and sampling procedures. We determined the data to be sufficiently reliable for the purpose of reporting the status of DOD installations where PFAS testing and remediation is taking place.

In addition to our data collection and analysis, we interviewed officials from the Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Energy, Installations, and the Environment, the EPA, and the military services to determine how the cleanup program is managed and evaluated. We also reviewed regulations relevant to PFAS cleanup. A detailed discussion of our scope and methodology is in appendix I.

We conducted this performance audit from February 2024 to February 2025 in accordance with generally accepted government auditing standards. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives.

Background


The Characteristics, Sources, and Health Impacts of PFAS

PFAS are a group of synthetic chemicals that have been linked to harmful health effects. For decades, PFAS have been used in a wide range of products including stain-resistant furniture, waterproof clothing, nonstick cookware, and certain firefighting foams like AFFF. PFAS have entered and spread throughout the environment and can be persistent, as they are resistant to degradation and can bioaccumulate in humans, animals, and plants. There are many contributors to PFAS contamination, including private industry, and PFAS can enter the environment through numerous pathways (see fig. 1). Releases of PFAS from AFFF as well as

from other DOD activities—such as metal plating—have resulted in PFAS contamination of drinking water, groundwater, and soil in and around military installations.⁹

⁹For more information on our prior work on PFAS, see appendix II.

Figure 1: Examples of How Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances (PFAS) Can Enter the Environment and Drinking Water



Source: GAO; GAO illustration. | GAO-25-107401

According to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, most Americans have PFAS in their blood. Human exposure to PFAS can increase the risk of certain cancers, immune system damage, developmental delays, and fertility issues, according to the EPA.

DOD's Environmental Restoration Process for Addressing PFAS

DOD conducts environmental restoration activities under the Defense Environmental Restoration Program to reduce risk to human health and the environment resulting from the department's actions.¹⁰ The Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition and Sustainment is responsible for establishing policy, issuing guidance, and providing oversight for the department's environmental restoration program. DOD components—which include the military departments and the Defense Logistics Agency—are to budget for and conduct environmental restoration activities at their installations. Moreover, in July 2019, DOD established a PFAS Task Force, which oversees DOD's PFAS-related activities and provides strategic leadership and direction to ensure a consistent and holistic approach.¹¹ The task force is composed of the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Energy, Installations, and Environment; the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Health Affairs; and the assistant secretaries of the Army, Navy, and Air Force with responsibility for energy, installations, and the environment.¹²

DOD's PFAS investigation and cleanup activities are conducted in accordance with the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980, as amended (CERCLA), as well as other relevant statutes and regulations.¹³ CERCLA, commonly known as Superfund, authorizes the President to respond to releases or threatened releases of any hazardous substances into the environment or

¹⁰DOD Instruction 4715.07, *Defense Environmental Restoration Program (DERP)* (May 21, 2013) (incorporating change 2, Aug. 31, 2018).

¹¹The National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2022 subsequently required DOD to establish a PFAS Task Force to address the effects of PFAS releases from DOD activities. Pub. L. No. 117-81, § 341, 135 Stat. 1541, 1640-41 (2021) (codified as amended at 10 U.S.C. § 2714).

¹²10 U.S.C. § 2714(b).

¹³Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980, Pub. L. No. 96-510, 94 Stat. 2767, codified as amended at 42 U.S.C. §§ 9601-9675. DOD's Defense Environmental Restoration Program was established by the Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act of 1986, which amended CERCLA. Pub. L. No. 99-499, 100 Stat. 1613. In implementing the program, DOD is required to carry out its activities addressing hazardous substances, pollutants, or contaminants in a manner consistent with section 120 of CERCLA. 10 U.S.C. § 2701.

of any pollutants or contaminants into the environment that may present an imminent and substantial danger to public health or welfare. In the late 1980s, the President delegated CERCLA's response authorities to EPA and other federal agencies. If there is a release from a federal facility, the agency that administers the facility—such as DOD—is authorized to take response actions under CERCLA, subject to oversight by EPA and the states in which those facilities are located.¹⁴ Subject to limited exceptions, CERCLA requires DOD and other federal agencies to comply with the statute and all guidelines, rules, regulations, and criteria applicable to remedial actions to the same extent as non-federal entities.¹⁵

The National Oil and Hazardous Substances Pollution Contingency Plan outlines procedures and standards for implementing the CERCLA process and designates DOD as the lead agency for planning and implementing response actions for releases of hazardous substances, pollutants, and contaminants from defense sites.¹⁶ There are several activities in a typical CERCLA response, including investigation, decision-making, and cleanup activities. For example, under CERCLA, DOD identifies, evaluates, and, where appropriate, responds to known or potential DOD releases of PFAS into the environment—such as releases of PFAS from the use of certain firefighting foam.¹⁷ Figure 2 outlines DOD's approach to addressing PFAS contamination within the steps of the CERCLA process. See appendix III for additional details on each phase.


¹⁴EPA takes the lead in overseeing CERCLA cleanup activities at federal facilities on its National Priorities List, which includes some of the most seriously contaminated federal and nonfederal sites around the country. For federal facilities that are not on the National Priorities List, most cleanups are overseen by state agencies rather than EPA, as allowed by CERCLA, which provides that state cleanup and enforcement laws apply to federal facilities not included on the National Priorities list.

¹⁵CERCLA also prohibits federal agencies from adopting or utilizing any guidelines, rules, regulations, or criteria that are inconsistent with the guidelines, rules, regulations, and criteria established by EPA under CERCLA. 42 U.S.C. § 9620.

¹⁶40 C.F.R. Part 300.

¹⁷Until recently, PFAS were not designated as hazardous substances under CERCLA. However, CERCLA authorizes federal agencies, including DOD, to take cleanup actions for pollutants or contaminants—in addition to hazardous substances—in accordance with CERCLA regulations. As we previously reported, DOD officials stated that the department has been using the full range of CERCLA authorities to respond to PFAS as a pollutant or contaminant for many years ([GAO-24-106812](#)).

Figure 2: Department of Defense’s (DOD) Process for Implementing the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980, as amended (CERCLA) Cleanup Process to Address Releases of Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances (PFAS) from DOD Activities



Source: GAO analysis of legal requirements and agency guidance documents. | GAO-25-107401

Note: This figure groups the CERCLA cleanup framework into the high-level phases of investigation, decision, and cleanup, as generally set forth in the National Oil and Hazardous Substances Pollution Contingency Plan (NCP) at 40 C.F.R. Part 300. DOD's PFAS investigation and cleanup activities are focused on 10 specific PFAS. For more information about these specific chemicals, see appendix 1 in this report (GAO-25-107401).

^aThe Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), which generally oversees the CERCLA program, defines the lead regulator as the primary agency (i.e. EPA or the state) that oversees the cleanup. See EPA, Lead Regulator Policy for Cleanup Activities at Federal Facilities on the National Priorities List (Nov. 6, 1997).

Environmental Protection Agency PFAS Screening Levels and Recent Regulations

While CERCLA authorizes federal agencies like DOD to respond to releases of hazardous substances, pollutants, and contaminants from their activities, the Superfund program and certain other federal environmental statutes (like the Safe Drinking Water Act) are generally administered by EPA. In this role, EPA has taken a number of regulatory and other actions in recent years to address PFAS contamination in the environment, including (1) issuing regional screening levels for PFAS in contaminated media at cleanup sites, (2) issuing health advisory levels for certain PFAS in drinking water, (3) promulgating enforceable maximum contaminant levels for specific PFAS in drinking water, and (4) designating two PFAS as hazardous substances under CERCLA. Generally, DOD has incorporated EPA's efforts into its approach to addressing PFAS contamination, as discussed below.

Regional screening levels. EPA develops regional screening levels for chemical contaminants at Superfund sites. These screening levels are risk-based values that are used to identify contaminated media (e.g., tap water, and soil) at cleanup sites that may need further investigation. EPA calculates these values based on standard exposure assumptions and toxicity data. Regional screening levels are not final cleanup standards, but rather are risk-based values that help agencies determine whether to proceed in the CERCLA investigation process at a site.

In recent years, EPA has added a range of PFAS to its regional screening levels tables. In 2021, EPA added PFAS as a chemical group to the regional screening level tables. Thereafter, in 2022 and 2023, EPA added screening levels for 13 additional PFAS in residential and industrial soil, groundwater, and tap water. For example, the May 2023 regional screening levels for PFOS and PFOA in residential tap water were 4 parts per trillion (ppt) and 6 ppt, respectively.¹⁸ As of November 2024, EPA has identified regional screening levels for 15 PFAS.

DOD guidance instructs DOD components to incorporate certain EPA regional screening levels for 10 specific PFAS into ongoing and future preliminary assessments and site inspections, and to use those screening levels to determine if further investigation in the remedial investigation

¹⁸One part per trillion is equivalent to a single drop of water in 20 Olympic-sized swimming pools. See GAO, *Persistent Chemicals: Navy Efforts to Address PFAS at Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam*, [GAO-24-106812](#) (Washington, D.C.: Apr. 15, 2024). In May 2024, these levels were lowered for residential tap water as depicted in Table 5 below.

phase is warranted or if no further action is required at a site.¹⁹ Further, this guidance instructs that sites determined to require no further action under outdated screening levels should be reassessed as levels are updated. The guidance indicates that screening levels for PFAS from EPA's regional screening levels tables are incorporated into DOD's environmental cleanup investigations when DOD determines that the levels are derived from final, peer reviewed toxicity values that meet certain DOD-identified criteria.²⁰

Health advisory levels. Under the Safe Drinking Water Act, EPA issues health advisories to provide information on contaminants not subject to drinking water regulations, including those that can cause adverse human health effects and that are known or anticipated to occur in drinking water. Drinking water health advisory levels are nonenforceable and nonregulatory, but rather provide technical information on the health risk of identified but unregulated chemicals to drinking water system managers, government officials, and others with primary responsibility for overseeing water systems. Health advisories may offer a margin of protection by defining a level of drinking water concentration at or below which exposure is not anticipated to lead to adverse health effects.

In 2016, EPA issued two lifetime drinking water health advisories for PFOA and PFOS at 70 ppt individually or summed.²¹ Thereafter, DOD required military installations to test drinking water in DOD-owned

¹⁹Assistant Secretary of Defense for Energy, Installations, and Environment Memorandum, *Investigating Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances within the Department of Defense Cleanup Program* (Aug. 24, 2023), as updated with November 2023 regional screening levels. See appendix I for more information about these specific chemicals.

²⁰Specifically, the guidance states that DOD cleanups will use toxicity values that are based on transparent assessments, have been externally and independently peer reviewed, are completed with a previously published and publicly available methodology, are based on studies that use the best use of all available science, and are publicly available or accessible.

²¹*Lifetime Health Advisories and Health Effects Support Documents for Perfluorooctanoic Acid and Perfluorooctane Sulfonate*, 81 Fed. Reg. 33250 (May 25, 2016). Individually or summed means that the health advisory level could be applied when one of or both PFOS or PFOA were detected.

drinking water systems for PFOS and PFOA and established required actions if test results were greater than 70 ppt.²²

In June 2022, EPA issued updated interim drinking water health advisory levels for PFOS and PFOA—at 0.02 ppt and 0.004 ppt respectively.²³ These superseded EPA’s 2016 health advisory levels. EPA also issued final health advisories for two additional PFAS.²⁴ The updated 2022 interim health advisory levels were lower than available EPA testing methods could detect, so DOD elected not to revise its drinking water policies to reflect the new interim levels.

Maximum contaminant levels. The Safe Drinking Water Act authorizes EPA to establish legally enforceable standards for public water systems—called National Primary Drinking Water Regulations—that generally limit the levels of specific contaminants in drinking water.²⁵ In April 2024, EPA promulgated such a regulation—the PFAS National Primary Drinking Water Regulation—that established enforceable maximum contaminant levels for six PFAS in drinking water. EPA set individual maximum contaminant levels for five PFAS and a maximum contaminant level for mixtures, which includes a sixth PFAS.²⁶ For example, the rule sets individual maximum contaminant levels for PFOA and PFOS at 4 ppt each. This regulation applies to certain public water systems, including those owned by DOD.²⁷ Such systems will be required to monitor drinking water for the regulated PFAS, and systems with any of the regulated

²²Assistant Secretary of Defense for Energy, Installations, and Environment Memorandum, *Testing DOD Drinking Water for Perfluorooctane Sulfonate (PFOS) and Perfluorooctanoic Acid (PFOA)* (June 10, 2016) and Assistant Secretary of Defense Memorandum, *Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances Sampling of Department of Defense Drinking Water Systems* (Mar. 2, 2020).

²³*Lifetime Drinking Water Health Advisories for Four Perfluoroalkyl Substances*, 87 Fed. Reg. 36848 (June 21, 2022).

²⁴For more information about these specific chemicals, see appendix IV.

²⁵42 U.S.C. § 300g-1.

²⁶*PFAS National Primary Drinking Water Regulation*, 89 Fed. Reg. 32532 (Apr. 26, 2024). For more information about the specific chemicals subject to the PFAS National Primary Drinking Water Regulation, see appendix IV.

²⁷There are over 148,000 public water systems in the U.S. that provide drinking water to 90 percent of Americans. EPA’s PFAS National Primary Drinking Water Regulation applies to (1) community water systems that supply water to the same population year round, and (2) nontransient noncommunity water systems that regularly supply water to at least 25 of the same people at least 6 months per year, such as schools, office buildings, and hospitals.

PFAS above allowable levels will be required to take actions to reduce their levels of PFAS, such as by implementing a treatment method by April 2029, when all public water systems are required to comply with the maximum contaminant levels. DOD is conducting sampling of its water systems and is taking actions to ensure compliance with the regulation within the 5-year time frame.

CERCLA designation. Among other things, CERCLA (1) gives EPA the authority to respond to actual and threatened releases of hazardous substances to the environment, (2) authorizes EPA to compel parties potentially responsible for those releases to clean up contaminated sites, (3) allows EPA to pay for cleanups and seek reimbursement from potentially responsible parties,²⁸ and (4) establishes a Hazardous Substance Superfund (trust fund) to provide funding for cleanups at certain nonfederal sites and related program activities. Federal agencies like DOD, however, are prohibited from using the Superfund trust fund to finance their cleanups and must, instead, use their own or other appropriations.

In April 2024, EPA finalized a rule designating PFOS and PFOA as hazardous substances under CERCLA.²⁹ The new rule is expected to strengthen EPA's ability to clean up non-federal sites contaminated with PFOS and PFOA and to hold responsible parties accountable for addressing significant contamination and cleanup costs. However, as noted above, because DOD has already been using its CERCLA authorities to respond to its releases of PFAS, DOD officials do not anticipate that this new rule will result in substantial changes to DOD's approach to PFAS cleanup.

As discussed in detail below, DOD incorporated EPA's 2016 PFAS drinking water health advisory levels and 2024 maximum contaminant levels into the department's efforts to address PFAS contamination under

²⁸Potentially responsible parties encompass a range of entities, including the current owner and operator of a vessel or a facility as defined by CERCLA; past owners and operators at the time of disposal of a hazardous substance; and parties that arranged for the disposal, treatment, or transport of a hazardous substance. 42 U.S.C. § 9607.

²⁹*Designation of Perfluorooctanoic Acid (PFOA) and Perfluorooctanesulfonic Acid (PFOS) as CERCLA Hazardous Substances*, 89 Fed. Reg. 39124 (May 8, 2024).

CERCLA.³⁰ See appendix V for a summary of EPA’s PFAS-related actions and the department’s response.

DOD’s Methods for Testing, Remediating, Disposing of, and Destroying PFAS

PFAS can contaminate multiple types of media, including soil, groundwater, surface water, wastewater, and drinking water. DOD uses different methods for testing, remediating, disposing of, and destroying PFAS based on the type of media.

Testing. DOD uses different testing methods for drinking water versus all other types of contaminated media. DOD guidance states that the services should use one EPA method that tests for certain PFAS in drinking water and another EPA method that measures or tests for PFAS in all other media.³¹ Both methods use similar laboratory techniques to test for PFAS; however, they differ in sensitivity and types of PFAS detected. The methods used to test drinking water are “targeted methods,” which means they can be highly sensitive and accurate, and they can detect 29 different PFAS. The method used for other media like soil and groundwater can detect a greater number of PFAS (40).³²

Remediation, Disposal, or Destruction. DOD uses different approaches for remediating, disposing of, or destroying PFAS-contaminated media (see table 1 below). After removal or remedial actions are conducted, there may be a need for disposal or destruction of PFAS-impacted materials. Remediation, disposal, or destruction decisions are made based on site-specific characteristics, resources, and objectives.

³⁰CERCLA does not establish regulatory standards for the cleanup of specific substances, but rather requires that long-term cleanups comply with applicable or relevant and appropriate requirements. These may include a host of federal and state standards—such as maximum contaminant levels—that generally regulate exposure to contaminants.

³¹These methods include EPA methods 533 to test for PFAS in drinking water and EPA method 1633 for PFAS in all other media. See Assistant Secretary of Defense (EI&E) Memorandum, *Policy for Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances Monitoring and Treatment in DOD-Owned Drinking Water Systems in the United States* (December 10, 2024) and Assistant Secretary of Defense (EI&E) Memorandum, *Establishing a Consistent Methodology for the Analysis of Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances in Metrics Other than Drinking Water* (Aug. 7, 2023).

³²People are most likely exposed to PFAS via contaminated water. See GAO, *Man-Made Chemicals and Potential Health Risks: EPA Has Completed Some Regulatory-Related Actions for PFAS*, [GAO-21-37](#) (Washington, D.C.: Jan. 27, 2021).

Table 1: Types of Department of Defense (DOD) Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances (PFAS) Remediation, Disposal, and Destruction Options

Remediation	The primary remediation technology for removing PFAS in groundwater is “pump and treat” or variations of it. For example, contaminated groundwater is pumped out of the ground, run through a filter, and injected back underground or otherwise discharged. Similarly, PFAS can be filtered out of drinking water using a point of entry system.
Disposal	According to a DOD memo from July 2023, PFAS contaminated media can be disposed of in hazardous waste landfills with environmental permits or solid waste landfills with environmental permits, composite liners, and gas/leachate collection and treatment systems. ^a DOD guidance also allows on-site hazardous waste storage and underground injection control on a site-specific basis.
Destruction	Incineration is the primary destruction option. DOD identified hazardous waste incineration with an environmental permit as an option but has not utilized this option per a DOD memo from July 2023. ^b Incineration has the potential to fully destroy PFAS with optimal temperature, incineration time, and mixture of media; however, there is a possibility that smaller PFAS molecules may not be destroyed or captured in air pollution equipment. Additionally, methods to identify and quantify PFAS in the air are under development; however, the lack of standardized testing methods for PFAS emissions introduces uncertainty in the understanding of PFAS releases into the air.

Source: GAO analysis of DOD and Environmental Protection Agency information. | GAO-25-107401

Note: DOD’s PFAS investigation and cleanup activities are focused on 10 specific PFAS. For more information about these specific chemicals, see appendix I in this report (GAO-25-107401).

^aSee Assistant Secretary of Defense (EI&E) *Memorandum, Memorandum for Sampling of Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances in DOD-Owned Drinking Water Systems*. (July 11, 2023).

^bSee Assistant Secretary of Defense *Memorandum, Guidance on Incineration of Materials Containing Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances*. (July 14, 2023).


DOD Has Completed Nearly All Preliminary Assessments and Site Inspections of PFAS Releases, and Some Remediation Efforts Are Underway

DOD Has Completed Assessments and Inspections at 712 of 718 Installations Identified with Potential PFAS Releases

As of June 2024, DOD had identified 718 military installations where PFAS was used or may have been released, and nearly all (712 of 718) have completed the first step of the CERCLA process—the preliminary assessment and site inspection—as shown in figure 3. The Explanatory Statement for the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2017, directed DOD to submit a report to the congressional defense committees that assessed the number of current and former military installations where AFFF was used or in use and the impact of PFAS contaminated drinking water on

surrounding communities.³³ DOD officials told us they reviewed their inventory of nearly 4,700 installations that had reported the release of any contaminant, pollutant, or hazardous substance and identified installations where PFAS may have been released.³⁴

Figure 3: Department of Defense (DOD) Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances (PFAS) Cleanup Status of Current or Former Military Installations, as of June 30, 2024



Source: GAO analysis of DOD information. | GAO-25-107401

Note: DOD's PFAS investigation and cleanup activities are focused on 10 specific PFAS. For more information about these specific chemicals, see appendix I in this report (GAO-25-107401).

^aDOD is following the CERCLA cleanup process to address releases of PFAS from DOD activities. For a description of the phases in a typical CERCLA cleanup, see figure 2 in this report (GAO-25-107401).

Of the 712 that have completed the first step of the CERCLA process, 132 installations required no further action because either the preliminary assessment did not identify any PFAS releases, or the site inspection

³³163 Cong. Rec. H3432 (daily ed. May 3, 2017). DOD was also instructed to include in the report plans for community notification of such contamination, when the contamination was detected, and the procedures for timely remediation.

³⁴The installations included current and former military bases, locations that were closed due to the Base Realignment and Closure (BRAC) process, and National Guard facilities. In addition to PFAS releases from the use of AFFF, DOD sampled for known or suspected PFAS releases at the following sources: car washes, including wash racks; landfills and debris disposal areas; leach fields and septic systems; metal plating shops; photographic laboratories, sewage lagoons, sewer lines locations, sludge drying beds or other sludge spreading areas; Teflon coating and removal process; vehicle maintenance and motor pool; and wastewater treatment plans. According to DOD officials, as installations where PFAS were used or may have been released were identified, they were added to the department's list of installations potentially needing PFAS investigation. The number of installations identified to have a potential PFAS release has grown over the past several years, and this accounts for different totals between fiscal years 2020 and 2024.

found PFAS at concentrations less than the regional screening levels, according to DOD officials.³⁵ DOD determined that for the remaining 580 installations, further investigation is needed and is proceeding to the next step of the CERCLA process. Nearly two-thirds (370 of 580) of these installations have started the remedial investigation and feasibility study step. According to DOD officials, while no installation has started the cleanup phase, DOD has taken interim removal actions to address immediate risks at or near (i.e. on base or off base) 82 installations and plans to take interim removal actions at 3 additional installations, as discussed below.

DOD Has Taken Interim Removal Actions to Address Immediate Health and Safety Concerns Related to Releases of Certain PFAS

As of June 2024, 85 on-base interim removal actions have been completed or are underway at 47 installations and on-base interim removal actions are planned at 15 installations to address releases of certain PFAS that may pose immediate health concerns. Additionally, 55 installations performed or are performing off-base interim removal actions to address releases of certain PFAS that posed immediate health concerns.³⁶ At any point during the CERCLA process, DOD may perform a removal action where there is a release or substantial threat of a release into the environment of any hazardous substance or where there is a release or substantial threat of a release into the environment of any pollutant or contaminant which may present an imminent and substantial danger to the public health or welfare. According to officials, DOD has performed interim removal actions on-base to prevent further plume migration (the movement of contaminants through soil and water) and off-base to address a drinking water exposure. Also, DOD officials explained that groundwater extraction or soil removal has been used to prevent PFAS contaminated plumes from spreading. DOD has addressed PFAS-contaminated drinking water by providing alternative drinking water (e.g.

³⁵EPA updates the regional screening levels semiannually; the regional screening levels for PFAS have been updated multiple times since DOD began addressing PFAS releases. DOD officials told us that all installations have been tested to the November 2023 regional screening levels.

³⁶For additional details on the types of on-base interim removal actions and examples of off-base interim removal actions, see appendix VI.

bottled water), installing point of use or whole house filtration systems, or connecting homes to a public water system without contamination.³⁷

Until recently, DOD's threshold for determining when an interim removal action was needed to address DOD releases of PFAS in drinking water was EPA's 2016 lifetime drinking water health advisory level of 70 ppt for PFOS and PFOA (individually or summed). If either PFOS, PFOA, or a combination of the two chemicals were found in a drinking water sample higher than 70 ppt, DOD performed an interim removal action.³⁸

DOD updated its guidance in September 2024 electing to implement a new lower threshold for interim removal actions to address PFAS contamination in private drinking water.³⁹ This update was in response to EPA's April 2024 promulgation of the new PFAS National Primary Drinking Water Regulation—which set maximum contaminant levels for PFOS and PFOA at 4 ppt each. Specifically, DOD's new threshold is three times the maximum contaminant levels. According to DOD officials, this threshold was selected based on factors such as prioritizing action where PFAS levels in drinking water are the highest and ensuring contamination is above background concentrations of PFAS.⁴⁰ The guidance also states that the updated threshold for interim removal actions will result in DOD returning to private drinking water wells where PFOS or PFOA levels were detected below 70 ppt but above 12 ppt to

³⁷DOD's interim removal actions to address PFAS in drinking water have been performed on drinking water sources (e.g., private wells and groundwater used for drinking water) impacted by DOD actions, but that are not owned or operated by DOD. For DOD-owned and operated drinking water systems, as noted above, DOD has taken action outside the CERCLA process to address PFAS in water the department provides at and around its installations.

³⁸As noted above, in 2022, EPA issued updated interim drinking water health advisory levels for PFOA and PFOS that superseded EPA's 2016 health advisory levels. The updated interim levels were lower than available testing methods could detect, and DOD did not revise its guidance for interim removal actions to reflect EPA's 2022 health advisory levels.

³⁹Assistant Secretary of Defense Memorandum, *Prioritization of Department of Defense Cleanup Actions to Implement the Federal Drinking Water Standards for Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances Under the Defense Environmental Restoration Program* (Sept. 3, 2024). The maximum contaminant levels legally apply to certain public water systems, which have to comply with those levels by 2029. DOD is, within the context of the CERCLA process, using three times the maximum contaminant levels as the threshold for interim removal actions addressing DOD PFAS releases to private drinking water wells.

⁴⁰According to DOD, background concentrations refer to chemicals (e.g. PFAS) that are not from releases at the cleanup site. For example, rainwater and residential septic systems have been shown to result in measurable levels of PFAS.

consider performing a removal action.⁴¹ Officials told us that DOD does not have the data on how many additional private drinking water wells will need to be addressed. The guidance also notes that in most cases the PFAS maximum contaminant levels will be applied as a final cleanup standard to be attained for groundwater and drinking water during CERCLA remedial actions.

Interim removal actions are often not a final solution, and a site may continue through the CERCLA process after a removal action has been implemented. See table 2 for a summary of DOD’s interim removal actions performed to address releases of certain PFAS as of June 2024.

Table 2: Department of Defense (DOD) On-Base Interim Removal Actions to Address Releases of Certain Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances (PFAS), as of June 2024

On-base Interim Removal actions	Army	Air Force	Navy ^a	Defense Logistics Agency ^b	Formerly Used Defense Sites ^c	Total
Planned	0	15	3	1	0	19
Underway	6	52	7	0	0	65
Completed	0	18	1	1	0	20
Total	6	85	11	2	0	104

Source: DOD PFAS data. | GAO-25-107401

Note: DOD is following the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA) cleanup process to address releases of PFAS from DOD activities. Under CERCLA, DOD may perform a removal action to address contamination that poses an immediate threat to public health or the environment. Certain types of removal actions, such as providing bottled water, may not provide the protection or permanence of long-term remediation. DOD’s PFAS investigation and cleanup activities are focused on 10 specific PFAS. For more information about these specific chemicals, see appendix I in this report (GAO-25-107401).

^aThe Department of the Navy consists of two services—the United States Navy and the United States Marine Corps.

^bDefense Logistics Agency is a combat support agency involved in hazardous waste storage or disposal as well as environmental compliance and cleanup. Defense Logistics Agency installations include six fuel support points, one distribution center, and two supply centers.

^cFormerly Used Defense Sites are sites located on properties that were once under DOD’s jurisdiction and owned, leased, or otherwise possessed by the United States at the time of the actions leading to contamination but were conveyed out of DOD’s jurisdiction prior to October 17, 1986.

⁴¹The guidance further notes that, for public water systems with PFAS above the maximum contaminant levels impacted by DOD activities, DOD intends to work with those systems and regulators to address PFAS impacts.

DOD Assesses Pace, Thoroughness, and Cost of Its PFAS Testing and Remediation Efforts, but Full Costs Are Unknown

DOD Has Made Some Progress in Addressing PFAS Releases and Has Reported on Its Pace to Congress

DOD has made some progress conducting PFAS testing through preliminary assessments and site inspections and beginning or planning for remedial investigations, and the department continues to assess its pace while preparing required reports to Congress.⁴² The National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2022 required DOD to complete the preliminary assessment and site inspection testing for PFAS no later than December 2023 at all military installations and National Guard facilities located in the United States that were identified as having a release of PFAS as of March 31, 2021.⁴³

In 2021, we reported that DOD had completed about one-quarter (181 of 687) of the preliminary assessments and site inspections at installations identified for potential remediation of PFAS.⁴⁴ As of June 2024, DOD has completed nearly all (712 of 718) preliminary assessments and site inspections. Progress by military department is shown in figure 4 below.

⁴²The National Defense Authorization Action for Fiscal Year 2022 directed DOD to establish a task force to address the effects of the release of PFAS from DOD activities and required the task force to submit quarterly reports to Congress on its activities. Pub. L. No. 117-81, § 341, 135 Stat. 1541, 1641 (2021) (codified as amended at 10 U.S.C. § 2714). The National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2024 replaced the quarterly reporting requirement with an annual reporting requirement through 2029. Pub. L. No. 118-31, § 341, 137 Stat. 136, 221 (2023).


⁴³Pub. L. No. 117-81, § 341(a), 135 Stat. 1542, 1641-42 (codified at 10 U.S.C. § 2715(a)).

⁴⁴[GAO-21-421](#).

Figure 4: Department of Defense (DOD) Progress Since 2020 in Completing Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances (PFAS) Preliminary Assessments and Site Inspections, as of June 2024

712 installations

DOD has completed the preliminary assessment/site inspection step of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA) cleanup process.^a



DOD has taken interim removal actions to address elevated levels of certain PFAS in drinking water or to prevent plume migration at or near 85 installations.

Source: GAO analysis of Department of Defense (DOD) information. | GAO-25-107401

Note: The Department of the Navy consists of two services—the United States Navy and the United States Marine Corps. The Defense Logistics Agency (DLA) installations and Formerly Used Defense Sites (FUDS) comprised less than 10 each and are not shown in the figure. According to DOD officials, as installations where PFAS was used or may have been released were identified, they were added to the department’s list of installations potentially needing PFAS remediation. The number of installations identified to have a PFAS release has increased over the past several years, which accounts for different totals between fiscal years 2020 and 2024.

^aDOD is following the CERCLA cleanup process to address releases of PFAS from DOD activities. For a description of the phases in a typical CERCLA cleanup, see figure 2 in this report (GAO-25-107401). DOD’s PFAS investigation and cleanup activities are focused on 10 specific PFAS. For more information about these specific chemicals, see appendix I in this report (GAO-25-107401).

Moreover, as shown in figure 5, DOD has also made progress in its remedial investigations of PFAS releases to determine the release source, exposure pathway, nature and extent of contamination, and level of risk to human health. In 2021, we reported that DOD had begun 78 remedial investigations to address releases of PFAS at installations determined to need further action under CERCLA. As of June 2024, DOD had 370 (of 580) installations where remedial investigations were underway and has planned remedial investigations to address releases of


PFAS at the remaining 210 installations.⁴⁵ DOD guidance notes there is variability in the amount of time CERCLA phases can take because conditions can vary from one site to the next. The Army and Air Force estimate completing remedial investigations and feasibility studies for PFAS releases over the next 6 years, and the Navy estimates nearly 10 years.

Figure 5: Department of Defense (DOD) Progress Since 2020 in Beginning Remedial Investigations and Feasibility Studies to address Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances (PFAS), as of June 2024

370 installations

DOD has begun the remedial investigation/feasibility study step of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA) cleanup process.^a

Percent begun



DOD has taken interim removal actions to address elevated levels of certain PFAS in drinking water or to prevent plume migration at or near 85 installations.

Source: GAO analysis of Department of Defense (DOD) information. | GAO-25-107401

Note: The Department of the Navy consists of two services—the United States Navy and the United States Marine Corps. The Defense Logistics Agency (DLA) installations and Formerly Used Defense Sites (FUDS) comprise less than 10 each and are not shown in the figure. According to DOD officials, as installations where PFAS were used or may have been released were identified, they were added to the department’s list of installations potentially needing PFAS remediation. The number of installations identified to have a PFAS release has grown over the past several years, which accounts for different totals between fiscal years 2020 and 2024.

^aDOD is following the CERCLA cleanup process to address releases of PFAS from DOD activities. For a description of the phases in a typical CERCLA cleanup, see figure 2 in this report (GAO-25-107401). DOD’s PFAS investigation and cleanup activities are focused on 10 specific

⁴⁵According to DOD officials, three installations have the PA/SI underway and the RI/FS planned or underway concurrently.

PFAS. For more information about these specific chemicals, see appendix I in this report (GAO-25-107401).

^bAccording to DOD officials, two installations of the 101 for the Navy have the preliminary assessment and site inspection underway concurrently.

DOD Efforts to Investigate PFAS Releases Have Generally Been Thorough, and DOD Is Adapting to New EPA Regulations

Air Force National Guard Soil Sampling

The Air Force National Guard Truax Field facility in Wisconsin underwent extensive soil sampling for per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS) in Spring of 2022. Using a large drill, over 220 “bore sites” were dug and sampled to decide which sites needed further PFAS testing and remediation. To determine the permeability and conductivity of the soil, tests were performed at 30 targeted points. Permeability and conductivity of the soil define the preferred pathway for contaminant migration. The data collected inform where the PFAS contamination could spread to and where remediation efforts should be targeted.



Source: Department of Defense documentation; Department of Defense/SM Sgt Paul Gorman (photo). | GAO-25-107401

Our analyses—which included analysis of 18 randomly selected preliminary assessment and site inspection reports—found that DOD efforts for investigating releases and potential releases of PFAS from its activities have generally been thorough. For example, DOD conducted a range of activities during the preliminary assessment and site inspection step of the CERCLA process to ensure thoroughness, including extensive soil sampling (see sidebar). DOD and military service officials responsible for PFAS testing and remediation told us that they have used EPA’s evolving PFAS regional screening levels in accordance with DOD policy to ensure thoroughness in testing for PFAS releases and to appropriately determine if sites should move onto the next phase of CERCLA cleanup. As the regional screening levels have been updated, DOD has released guidance instructing the services how to apply the revised screening levels when testing for PFAS.⁴⁶ Further, according to DOD officials and documentation, the department seeks input from relevant regulators throughout the process. Table 3 below shows examples of activities conducted during the first step of the CERCLA process, including environmental analyses, conceptual site models, land surveying, and sample collection that demonstrate general thoroughness.

⁴⁶The latest DOD guidance incorporates regional screening levels for 10 of the PFAS included in EPA’s November 2023 regional screening levels table. According to EPA officials, EPA’s November 2023 regional screening levels table included 14 PFAS, and the most recent regional screening levels table (Nov. 2024) includes 15 PFAS. In 2024, EPA updated the regional screening levels for some of the 10 PFAS that are the focus of DOD’s cleanup activities and added another PFAS to the regional screening levels table. According to DOD officials, the Office of the Secretary of Defense plans to issue guidance on the updated regional screening levels in the first quarter of fiscal year 2025.

Table 3: Examples of Department of Defense’s (DOD) Efforts to Investigate Releases or Potential Releases of Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances (PFAS)

Preliminary assessment	Site inspection
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reviewing historical documents, such as historical aerial photos or Sanborn maps • Conducting site visits to complete visual inspections at suspected PFAS-release locations • Interviewing relevant personnel, such as those in environmental management and nearby fire departments • Identifying areas of interest to develop conceptual site models that summarize potential source-pathway-receptor linkages • Conducting environmental analysis of surrounding geology, hydrogeology, climate, land use, sensitive habitats, and threatened or endangered species • Analyzing past and present activities at each area of interest identified during the preliminary assessment 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Obtaining clearance from nearby utilities • Performing direct push boring for soil sample collection • Installing temporary monitoring wells for groundwater sample collection • Surveying surrounding land • Conducting readiness reviews, which cover anticipated hazards, types and proper use of equipment needed for the field activities, sampling procedures, and procedures used to prevent cross-contamination • Sending collected samples to accredited laboratories for testing

Source: GAO review of DOD information. | GAO-25-107401

Note: DOD is following the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA) cleanup process to address release of PFAS from DOD activities. The first step in the CERCLA cleanup process is the preliminary assessment/site inspection. For a description of the phases in a typical CERCLA cleanup, see figure 2 in this report (GAO-25-107401). DOD’s PFAS investigation and cleanup activities are focused on 10 specific PFAS. For more information about these specific chemicals, see appendix I in this report (GAO-25-107401).

While DOD’s efforts have been thorough thus far, EPA’s April 2024 PFAS National Primary Drinking Water Regulation, which established maximum contaminant levels covering six PFAS, could require reevaluation of past site inspections of some installations, according to DOD officials. DOD officials stated that, as of June 30, 2024, 132 installations were deemed “no further action needed” to address PFAS releases. Of those installations, officials stated that 72 did not have PFAS detected during the preliminary assessment or site inspection, leaving 60 installations that are or will be reevaluated and may need remedial investigations.

DOD Estimates PFAS Investigation and Cleanup Costs Near \$9 Billion and Expects Significant Increases, As Full Costs Are Unknown

DOD Estimates for Future PFAS Investigation and Cleanup Costs Have More than Tripled Since 2022 and Costs Are Expected to Rise

DOD has spent \$2.6 billion on the investigation and cleanup of PFAS from 2017 to 2023.⁴⁷ In a May 2024 report to Congress, DOD provided a point estimate of at least another \$7 billion in expected future PFAS investigation and cleanup costs, as shown in table 4 below.⁴⁸

Table 4: Department of Defense (DOD) Actual and Estimated Costs for the Investigation and Cleanup of Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances (PFAS) at Military Installations, as of May 2024 (in millions)

	Through FY2021 actual	FY2022, actual	FY2023, actual	FY2024, estimates	FY2025 and beyond	Total, actual and estimated
Investigate	673.1	352.1	339.5	142.3	989.7	2,496.7
Cleanup	351.1	62.3	82.7	55.3	6,100	6,651.4
Total	1,024.2	414.4	422.2	197.6	7,089.7	9,148.1

Source: DOD PFAS Report to Congress. | GAO-25-107401

Note: DOD's PFAS investigation and cleanup activities are focused on 10 specific PFAS. For more information about these specific chemicals, see appendix I in this report (GAO-25-107401). The table includes active installations, National Guard facilities, and Formerly Used Defense Sites with funding provided by either the environmental restoration or operation and maintenance appropriation accounts. This table does not include PFAS investigation and cleanup costs at Base Realignment and Closure locations with funding provided by military construction appropriation accounts.


In 2023, the department was directed to report semiannually to the House and Senate Appropriations Committees on the costs associated with investigating and cleaning up PFAS at sites where funding is provided by either environmental restoration or operation and maintenance

⁴⁷This includes about \$1.9B for DOD's investigation and cleanup of PFAS at active installations, National Guard facilities, and Formerly Used Defense Sites and about \$700 million for DOD's investigation and cleanup of PFAS at Base Realignment and Closure locations.

⁴⁸For the purposes of our report, we use "costs" to refer to actual and estimated obligations. These actual and estimated costs include the costs for investigations (e.g., contracts for preliminary assessments/site inspections) and, when available, cleanup costs for removal actions (e.g., providing bottled water) and long-term remediation.

appropriations.⁴⁹ In response, DOD submitted its first required report to Congress in May 2024 that included—by component and installation—planned, actual, and estimated obligations separately for PFAS investigations and cleanup.⁵⁰ Our analysis shows that the department’s estimated future PFAS investigation costs more than tripled since 2022, while estimates for future PFAS cleanup costs have increased 15-fold, as shown in figure 6 below. We found that future costs will continue to increase as DOD learns about the extent of its PFAS releases—including the breadth and depth—through remedial investigations and determines what cleanup actions are required.

Figure 6: Department of Defense (DOD) Estimated Future Costs, by Fiscal Year, for the Investigation and Cleanup of Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances (PFAS) at Military Installations, as of May 2024



Source: GAO analysis of DOD PFAS Reports to Congress. | GAO-25-107401

⁴⁹H. R. Rep. No. 118-121, at 60-61. Specifically, DOD was instructed to provide the actual obligations for the investigation and cleanup of PFAS through the end of fiscal year 2021, the actual obligations in fiscal year 2022, the planned and actual obligations in fiscal year 2023, the planned obligations for fiscal year 2024, and the estimated cost after fiscal year 2024 for each component and by installation name. This reporting requirement updated prior congressional direction to department to report quarterly to the House and Senate Appropriations Committees on costs associated with investigating and cleaning up PFAS. H. R. Rep. No. 116-453, at 68-69. Specifically, DOD was previously instructed to provide the actual obligations for the investigation and cleanup of PFAS through the end of fiscal year 2018, the actual obligations in fiscal year 2019, the planned and actual obligations in fiscal year 2020, the planned obligations for fiscal year 2021, and the estimated cost after fiscal year 2021 for each component and by installation name.

⁵⁰In response to the prior PFAS cost reporting requirement referenced above, DOD officials said the department has submitted quarterly reports to Congress on its costs associated with investigating and cleaning up PFAS from 2021 to present.

Note: DOD's PFAS investigation and cleanup activities are focused on 10 specific PFAS. For more information about these specific chemicals, see appendix I in this report (GAO-25-107401). The table includes active installations, National Guard facilities, and Formerly Used Defense Sites with funding provided by either the environmental restoration or operation and maintenance appropriation accounts. This figure does not include PFAS investigation and cleanup costs at Base Realignment and Closure locations with funding provided by military construction appropriation accounts.

DOD acknowledged in the May 2024 report that estimated future PFAS investigation and cleanup costs are expected to increase as the DOD components complete ongoing remedial investigations, determine what cleanup actions are required, and implement new guidance and regulations issued by the EPA.⁵¹ More specifically, according to officials, as these investigations are completed, installations will have more information on the extent of releases and can determine what cleanup actions are required, which will allow the department to develop better cost estimates. The department does not yet have data at many of its installations that show the speed of groundwater flow based on the type of soil or depth of any single plume, nor does it have complete data from monitoring wells that could indicate where the end of the plume is located (e.g., 1 mile, 10 miles, 30 miles), or the concentrations throughout the plume.

Additionally, in nearly all cases, the department also does not yet know the volume of PFAS released at each site or the background concentrations of PFAS. These factors could affect the final cleanup decisions, which in turn directly affects the length of time to operate the remedy and affects associated costs. DOD also cited EPA guidance and regulations, such as the updated regional screening and maximum contaminant levels, as drivers of increasing costs. The May 2024 report notes that the department will plan and program for these requirements as they are defined.

DOD Reports Limited Information About Full Estimated Costs for Future PFAS Investigations and Cleanup

DOD's May 2024 report provides limited information about the full estimated future costs to be incurred by DOD for PFAS investigation and cleanup. Specifically, as described above, the department has identified 580 installations—active, national guard, formerly used defense sites, and Base Realignment and Closure (BRAC) locations—that need to complete their remedial investigations to address releases of PFAS. However, the May 2024 report recorded zero dollars as DOD's planned future cleanup

⁵¹Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Energy, Installations, and Environment, *Report on Active, National Guard, and Formerly Used Defense Sites Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances Cleanup Costs* (May 2024).

obligations after fiscal year 2024 for more than half of the other identified installations.⁵²

According to DOD officials, in some cases the report recorded zero dollars as DOD's planned future cleanup obligations after fiscal year 2024 because DOD has developed these cost estimates based on the federal accounting standards. These standards have specific rules about including or excluding certain costs from estimates regarding expected future cleanup work. For example, for costs to be included in cleanup estimates based on the federal accounting standards, they must be both probable and reasonably estimable.⁵³

DOD officials explained that the department cannot estimate how long it will take or how much it will cost to completely address its PFAS releases at its installations until it knows the extent of those releases, meaning that many expected future costs are not currently reasonably estimable. DOD's current PFAS investigation and cleanup estimates based on the federal accounting standards give DOD leadership insight into the likely minimum costs for PFAS investigation and cleanup. However, the estimates provide little insight into how high those costs might rise, thus limiting their utility for congressional planning purposes.

As noted above, DOD has been directed to report to the congressional appropriations committees on the estimated future costs, after fiscal year 2024, associated with investigating and cleaning up PFAS. However, when directing DOD to report on these costs, congressional committees did not require DOD to adhere to the federal accounting standards and report only those expected PFAS investigation and cleanup costs that meet such standards.

Moreover, the May 2024 report includes expected future costs associated with investigating and cleaning up PFAS at sites with funding provided by either environmental restoration or operation and maintenance

⁵²The May 2024 report generally included estimates for DOD's planned future investigation obligations.

⁵³Federal agencies are required to report certain cost estimates for cleanup work—called environmental liabilities—on their annual financial statements, according to the federal accounting standards. These standards say that costs for cleanup work should be reported as environmental liabilities when they are both probable and reasonably estimable. Probable relates to whether a future outflow of resources will be required—specifically, that it is “more likely than not” that the agency will incur a financial liability. Reasonably estimable relates to the ability to reliably quantify in monetary terms the outflow of resources that will be required.

appropriation accounts. These appropriations include active installations, National Guard facilities, and Formerly Used Defense Sites. However, the May 2024 report does not include estimated costs for PFAS investigation and cleanup at 116 BRAC locations with funding provided by military construction appropriation accounts. The department estimates at least another \$2 billion in expected future PFAS investigation and cleanup costs for these BRAC locations in addition to the \$7 billion in expected future costs for the other installations included in the May 2024 report. DOD is not required to include future PFAS cleanup cost estimates for BRAC locations in its required semiannual cost report to Congress; however, without this detail, Congress lacks full visibility into additional billions of dollars needed for PFAS investigation and cleanup activities at all DOD sites.

Since 2003, we have consistently recommended that agencies maintain clear and open communication with Congress concerning their future financial obligations, which include both known and unknown future fiscal exposures.⁵⁴ Fiscal exposures are responsibilities, programs, and activities that may legally commit the federal government to future spending or create expectations for future spending based on current policy, past practices, or other factors. While federal accounting standards require certain expected future cleanup costs to be reported or disclosed in federal agencies' financial statements, these do not represent the total federal fiscal exposure, meaning the total amount that the federal government may have to pay to clean up contamination. The total fiscal exposure includes costs to clean up known sites that are not currently reasonably estimable and unknown cleanup costs that may be identified in the future as remedial investigations are completed. Additionally, we have previously reported on concerns with the

⁵⁴For example, in 2024 we found DOD had not communicated information about total fiscal exposures related to the cleanup of the Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility in Hawaii in its department-wide budget materials that would have helped inform Congress and the public about its potential future remediation responsibilities. We noted that if DOD communicated more information on its future financial obligations in budget materials or other reports, Congress and the public could have a more complete picture of DOD's long-term fiscal exposure. GAO, *Environmental Cleanup: DOD Should Communicate Future Costs for Red Hill Remediation and Closure*, [GAO-24-106185](#) (Washington, D.C.: Feb. 14, 2024). DOD concurred with recommendations made within this report. See also GAO, *High-Risk Series: Progress on Many High-Risk Areas, While Substantial Efforts Needed on Others*, [GAO-17-317](#) (Washington, D.C.: Feb. 15, 2017) and GAO, *Fiscal Exposures: Improving Cost Recognition in the Federal Budget*, [GAO-14-28](#) (Washington, D.C.: Oct. 29, 2013).

department's cleanup cost estimates and the importance of providing Congress with visibility into significant cost increases to clean up PFAS.⁵⁵

Moreover, GAO's *Cost Estimating and Assessment Guide* provides guidance on developing various kinds of cost estimates for federal agencies.⁵⁶ The cost guide acknowledges that developing reliable cost estimates can be challenging, but that it is proper and prudent to complete estimates with the best available information at the time, while also documenting the estimate's shortcomings. For example, the guide includes a best practice that a risk and uncertainty analysis should be conducted, which quantifies the imperfectly understood risks and uncertainties and identifies the effects of changing key cost driver assumptions and factors.⁵⁷ Using risk and uncertainty analysis can inform decision-makers about a program's potential range of costs and cost drivers, while a point estimate by itself provides no information about the underlying uncertainty of the estimate and is insufficient for making good decisions about the program.

While DOD knows there will be future PFAS investigation and, likely, cleanup needed at 580 installations, DOD has not communicated information to Congress about total fiscal exposures for future PFAS remediation. DOD emphasized that the scope of PFAS cleanup is unknown and until remedial investigations are completed, the department will not have data on future PFAS cleanup costs that are reasonably estimable, given the many unknowns faced by the department.

However, DOD is aware of billions of dollars of costs that it likely will incur as part of PFAS investigation and cleanup. DOD is also aware of many

⁵⁵For example, in 2021 we recommended that the department annually include the latest cost estimates for future PFAS investigation and cleanup—including their scope and any limitations—in DOD's environmental reports to Congress. [GAO-21-421](#). DOD concurred and provided cost estimates in its environmental report to Congress in 2022. DOD has provided more recent PFAS cost estimates to Congress in other reports and anticipates Congress will continue to ask the department to report on PFAS cost estimates for the foreseeable future. See also GAO, *Military Base Realignments and Closures: DOD Has Improved Environmental Cleanup Reporting but Should Obtain and Share More Information*, [GAO-17-151](#) (Washington, D.C.: Jan. 19, 2017).

⁵⁶GAO, *Cost Estimating and Assessment Guide: Best Practices for Developing and Managing Program Costs*, [GAO-20-195G](#) (Washington, D.C.: Mar. 2020).

⁵⁷A risk is a potential event that could affect the program positively or negatively. Uncertainty refers to a situation in which little to no information is known about the outcome. Uncertainty may arise because of the inherent variability in the actions of individuals and organizations working toward a plan.

other significant remediation tasks whose costs it cannot precisely estimate at this time, which could total significantly more than the current estimated future costs. While estimates of future fiscal exposures are not required to be reported or disclosed in financial statements based on federal accounting standards, such estimates are relevant information for congressional oversight.

An essential element for providing Congress with a more comprehensive picture of fiscal exposures for PFAS investigation and cleanup is transparency through reporting and expanding the availability of information about agencies' estimated remediation costs. By including in its semiannual cost report to Congress or other congressional reporting mechanism: (1) additional information regarding DOD's potential total fiscal exposure related to PFAS investigation and cleanup, including cost estimates at BRAC and other sites expected to be funded by DOD appropriations, and (2) a detailed explanation and examples of how changing assumptions about key cost drivers may affect future cost estimates, DOD will provide Congress better visibility into the significant costs and efforts associated with the cleanup of PFAS. With this information, Congress would have greater visibility into the agency's total fiscal exposure and be better equipped to make decisions regarding future funding for PFAS investigation and cleanup activities.

DOD Is Taking Steps to Address Challenges with Remediating PFAS

DOD faces several challenges in testing and remediating PFAS, including limited technology to address PFAS, the large number of installations identified to have PFAS contamination, evolving regulations and guidance pertaining to PFAS, changing laboratory methods to meet evolving screening levels, and the ubiquitous nature of PFAS.

Innovative Technologies to Test and Remediate Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances

The Department of Defense (DOD) has invested significant funding into research and development efforts to create innovative ways to treat per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS) in all media. DOD is testing a new technology on a small scale to demonstrate the effectiveness. The Naval Air Station Oceana team has been working with the Environmental Security Technology Certification Program on “D-FAS Technology” also referred to as in situ foam fractionation technology. D-FAS can remove PFAS from water and soil by forcing the PFAS molecules to adhere to foam; the foam is then highly concentrated and easily removable. The PFAS-contaminated foam must be destroyed. The Environmental Security Technology Certification Program is also working on a PFAS destruction technology—hydrothermal alkaline treatment—which can be used to destroy the waste product from “D-FAS Technology.”



Source: GAO analysis of DOD information; DOD/SMSgt Paul Gorman (photo). | GAO-25-107401

Limited technology. According to agency documentation, there is no commercially available remediation technology that quickly removes 100 percent of PFAS contamination in all media. DOD officials told us that filtration is the primary option for removal of PFAS from water—also known as pump-and-treat. We have previously reported that as of July 2024, three drinking water treatment technologies—granular activated carbon, ion exchange resin, and high-pressure membranes—generally remove 99 percent or more of the six PFAS for which EPA has established maximum contaminant levels.⁵⁸ DOD officials explained that groundwater pump-and-treat requires contaminated water to be pumped out of the ground, run through a filter, and then inserted back underground or otherwise discharged. The cleanup process can take years and sometimes decades—5 to 10 decades—to complete. According to DOD officials, effective treatment options for contaminated media like soil and groundwater are in development, and at DOD installations, soil is often sent offsite for disposal through landfilling. To address this challenge, officials stated that DOD has invested significant funding (about \$250 million through fiscal year 2023) into research and development efforts to create innovative ways to treat PFAS in all media. DOD’s environmental research programs—Strategic Environmental Research and Development Program and the Environmental Security Technology Certification Program—are funding over 200 projects related to the management of PFAS in the environment, according to DOD officials (see sidebar). Moreover, we have previously reported that while current and promising technologies and methods could improve the detection and treatment of PFAS, there are challenges associated with them, and we offered policy options to policymakers, which may include Congress, federal agencies, state and local governments, academia, and industry that could help mitigate the challenges.⁵⁹

Large number of installations and base-wide investigations.

According to DOD officials, the large number of installations nationwide that required PFAS investigations was overwhelming. In addition to the high number of installations, officials stated that the entire installation required investigations. DOD officials told us that they normally address chemical spills as they happen and conduct investigations of the specific spill area. According to DOD officials, there was very little historical documentation of PFAS releases, since there was no need or

⁵⁸GAO, *Persistent Chemicals: Additional EPA Actions Could Help Public Water Systems Address PFAS in Drinking Water*, [GAO-24-106523](#) (Washington, D.C.: Sept. 24, 2024).

⁵⁹[GAO-22-105088](#).

requirement to record such information. As a result, the entire installation had to be investigated to identify all potential releases. DOD officials said they had not conducted this type of investigation for any other specific contaminant in recent decades.

Moreover, according to officials, DOD must investigate if PFAS is migrating off-base and onto or under private property. DOD officials stated that to conduct sampling and cleanup activities on private property, DOD must receive signed permission from owners, and this process can slow down the investigation. Regulators are also involved in the process; for example, they must have an opportunity to comment at various points in the CERCLA cleanup process before DOD is able to move forward. According to officials, to address these challenges, DOD establishes schedules to include estimated start and end dates for each CERCLA phase and accounts for environmental variability in its assessment documents.

Changing laboratory methods and lab availability. According to DOD officials and agency documentation, EPA has released three laboratory methods for testing PFAS since DOD began officially investigating PFAS in 2017. Additionally, EPA's screening levels for PFAS have been decreasing over the years, requiring more sensitive testing. PFAS are measured in "parts per trillion", while most other contaminants are measured in "parts per billion" or "parts per million." The most recent EPA regional screening levels from May 2024 for certain PFAS in tap water are in the "parts per quadrillion," which is presently an undetectable level given the current testing technology.

While the methods and equipment used to test for PFAS are common across laboratories, according to DOD officials, equipment must be specifically dedicated to testing for PFAS to prevent cross-contamination. As we have previously reported, some laboratory equipment or sample containers can contain PFAS, which would interfere with an accurate result. This can present challenges for the laboratories conducting the PFAS testing. According to DOD guidance, samples containing PFAS must be tested in an accredited lab. There are specific criteria that a laboratory must meet to be accredited. According to DOD officials, there is a limited number of accredited labs. As a result, there can be long wait times to receive sample testing results, according to Army officials. To address these challenges, DOD officials told us the department has an accreditation process with clear steps for laboratories to take to become accredited. Additionally, DOD officials explained that the department plans to issue guidance on the updated regional screening levels in the

first quarter of fiscal year 2025. We have previously reported on detection capabilities, including high-resolution mass spectrometry and total fluorine analysis.⁶⁰

Evolving PFAS regional screening levels and regulations. According to DOD officials and agency documentation, since DOD began investigating PFAS in 2017, EPA has updated its regional screening levels for certain PFAS and added new PFAS compounds to the regional screening levels table on several occasions. When EPA has updated its regional screening levels for PFAS, DOD has generally released guidance addressing how the updated levels should be incorporated into DOD’s PFAS investigations. See table 5 for examples of changing levels for PFOS and PFOA established by EPA and DOD and how DOD has applied the levels to its cleanup activities.

Table 5: Select Levels for Certain Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances (PFAS) Established by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Department of Defense (DOD) since 2016 and DOD’s Use of Those Level During PFAS Investigation and Cleanup

Select levels of certain PFAS in drinking water established by EPA and DOD since 2016 ^a	Levels established for PFOA and PFOS in drinking water (in parts per trillion) ^b		Description of the levels and DOD’s application to PFAS cleanup activities ^b
	Perfluorooctane sulfonate (PFOS)	Perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA)	
2016 lifetime drinking water health advisory levels	Individually or summed	70	Description: Nonenforceable and nonregulatory levels that provided information on health risks of identified but unregulated contaminants. DOD application: Used until 2024 as the threshold in drinking water above which DOD would perform an interim removal action during the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA) process. ^c
2019 interim recommendation for addressing groundwater	40	40	Description: Interim recommended levels for federal cleanup activities to be used to determine if PFOA and/or PFOS was present at a site and may warrant further investigation. DOD application: Used until 2022 as the threshold in groundwater above which DOD would determine that further action was needed to investigate releases through the CERCLA cleanup process.

⁶⁰[GAO-22-105088](#).

Select levels of certain PFAS in drinking water established by EPA and DOD since 2016 ^a	Levels established for PFOA and PFOS in drinking water (in parts per trillion) ^b		Description of the levels and DOD's application to PFAS cleanup activities ^b
	Perfluorooctane sulfonate (PFOS)	Perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA)	
2022 interim, updated lifetime drinking water health advisory levels	0.02	0.004	Description: Updated, interim nonenforceable and nonregulatory levels for these PFAS, based on new science and considering lifetime exposure. DOD application: Not implemented in DOD's PFAS cleanup activities due to lack of technology to detect PFOA or PFOS at these levels.
2023 (May) tap water regional screening levels	4	6	Description: Risk-based levels used by agencies to identify cleanup sites may need further investigation or action. ^e DOD application: Used as the threshold in tap water above which DOD will determine that further action is needed to investigate releases through the CERCLA cleanup process.
2024 PFAS drinking water maximum contaminant levels	4	4	Description: Enforceable maximum allowable levels of contaminants in drinking water provided by certain public water systems. ^d DOD application: Will be used in most cases as a final level to remediate contaminated drinking water, including groundwater and drinking water in private wells, through the CERCLA cleanup process.
2024 (May) tap water regional Screening levels	0.2	0.0027	Description: Risk-based levels used by agencies to identify cleanup sites that may need further investigation or action. ^e DOD application: Officials stated that DOD plans to issue guidance on the updated regional screening levels in the first quarter of fiscal year 2025.
2024 three times EPA's drinking water maximum contaminant levels	12	12	Description: Levels established by DOD in updated guidance based on EPA's PFAS Primary Drinking Water Regulation maximum contaminant levels. DOD application: Used since September 2024 as the threshold in drinking water above which DOD will perform an interim removal action during the CERCLA cleanup process. ^c

Legend:

EPA-established levels
DOD-established levels

Source: GAO analysis of DOD and EPA guidance and regulations and GAO reports. | GAO-25-107401

^aSome of the actions referenced in this column address PFAS other than PFOA and PFOS. Because PFOA and PFOS are some of the most common and studied PFAS, we include only the levels established for those PFAS here for illustrative purposes.

^bDOD is following the CERCLA cleanup process to address releases of PFAS from DOD activities. For a description of the phases in a typical CERCLA cleanup, see figure 2 in this report (GAO-25-107401).

^cAt any point during the CERCLA process, DOD may perform a removal action where there is a release or substantial threat of a release into the environment of any hazardous substance, or where

there is a release or substantial threat of a release into the environment of any pollutant or contaminant which may present an imminent and substantial danger to the public health or welfare. Removal actions, such as providing bottled water, may not provide the protection or permanence of long-term remediation.

^dThe maximum contaminant levels legally apply to certain public water systems—including those owned by DOD—which must comply with the established levels in drinking water by 2029. See *PFAS National Primary Drinking Water Regulations*, 89 Fed. Reg. 32532 (Apr. 26, 2024).

^eDepicted levels represent default exposure assumptions for the residential scenario based on a Target Hazard Quotient of 0.1. Levels established for different scenarios are not depicted here and Target Hazard Quotients are not shown here.

The changing regional screening levels have resulted in additional costs and extended the timeline for identifying and remediating PFAS, according to military service officials. For example, when a screening level is updated, the contract may need to be updated as well. DOD officials reported that sometimes a change in scope requires an extension of the contract's period of performance. EPA has also added additional PFAS to the regional screening levels table over the last several years, and military service officials said they had to redo work and update contracts to include the new PFAS compounds.

To address this challenge, service officials stated that they adjusted contract language or changed the type of contract they use to address PFAS. For example, Air Force officials told us that going forward in their contracts, they will not specifically list the regional screening levels in the performance work statement to allow for more flexibility. Additionally, Navy officials said that in some instances, they have opted to use cost contracts under which DOD reimburses the contractor for any work done, which can allow for flexibility if work needs to be changed or redone.

In addition to changing regional screening levels, changing regulations pertaining to PFAS have impacted DOD efforts to address PFAS releases. For example, now that EPA has established maximum contaminant levels for certain PFAS, DOD is planning to use those levels as final cleanup standards for drinking water in most CERCLA remedial actions addressing PFAS. DOD officials told us that their efforts may also be affected by other final and anticipated EPA regulatory actions addressing PFAS, including EPA's April 2024 rule designating PFOS and PFOA as CERCLA hazardous substances. The designation itself is not expected to change how DOD applies the CERCLA process to address its releases of PFAS. However, it does open DOD up to potential liability for PFAS contamination that has migrated from DOD installations.

Assuming certain conditions are met, CERCLA can impose liability for cleanup costs on parties responsible in whole, or in part, for releases of

hazardous substances into the environment. A party that has incurred cleanup costs or been held liable for such costs under CERCLA may seek to recover those costs from other potentially responsible parties, which could include DOD. Thus, DOD may be subject to lawsuits from parties seeking to recover costs associated with cleaning up PFAS contamination that may have resulted from DOD activities. For example, the State of New Mexico recently brought such claims against the Army and Air Force to recover costs associated with addressing PFAS contamination allegedly stemming from several DOD installations in the state.⁶¹

Moreover, EPA has proposed adding nine PFAS to the list of hazardous constituents under Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976, as amended (RCRA).⁶² In short, if this rule is finalized, it would likely give EPA and specific states clearer regulatory power over DOD's PFAS investigation and cleanup efforts at certain sites with RCRA-permitted facilities.⁶³ To mitigate challenges with changing regulations, DOD officials told us they monitor changes in PFAS regulations and the department updates its policies and guidance based on regulatory developments.

Ubiquitous nature of PFAS. According to DOD officials, PFAS are uniquely difficult contaminants to clean up due to their ubiquitous, persistent nature, and numerous pathways for release. PFAS do not breakdown easily; they can persist in the environment for decades or longer. PFAS contamination can spread through the environment, and PFAS are found in many consumer products such as clothes, cosmetics, and furniture. Its common use has made the presence of PFAS ubiquitous across our environment. For example, PFAS have been detected in groundwater, soil, dust, wildlife, and rainwater across the

⁶¹Amended Complaint, *State of New Mexico et al. v. United States et al.*, Case No. 2:20-cv-2115 (D.S. C.; Dkt. 115, filed July 11, 2024).

⁶²*Listing of Specific PFAS as Hazardous Constituents*, 89 Fed. Reg. 8606 (Feb. 8, 2024). EPA has also recently proposed another rule that would amend the definition of hazardous waste as it applies to cleanups at permitted hazardous waste facilities. *Definition of Hazardous Waste Applicable to Corrective Action for Releases From Solid Waste Management Units*, 89 Fed. Reg. 8598 (Feb. 8, 2024). Together, these rules seek to facilitate the cleanup of certain PFAS and other emerging contaminants at RCRA-permitted hazardous waste facilities, among other things.

⁶³RCRA requires a permit for the treatment, storage, and disposal of certain hazardous waste. Under RCRA, EPA may authorize a state to implement its own hazardous waste regulatory program in lieu of the federal RCRA program. In most instances, states now implement RCRA's permit requirements under EPA authorization.

United States and worldwide. Background concentrations refer to levels of PFAS found in the environment that are not directly caused by one specific release, but instead are the culmination of decades of prevalent use.

EPA has recognized that background concentration of hazardous substances, pollutants, and contaminants may affect the CERCLA cleanup process and has issued guidance for how background concentrations can be considered during the CERCLA process.⁶⁴ According to this guidance, final cleanup levels under CERCLA are generally not set below anthropogenic background concentrations. Therefore, cleanup activities conducted under CERCLA may not address background levels of PFAS. In these instances, according to EPA guidance, EPA may be able to help identify other programs or regulatory authorities that are able to address the sources of area-wide contamination that cannot be attributed to DOD activities.

Per DOD documentation, to address this challenge, DOD will work with EPA and state regulators, as appropriate, to evaluate background concentrations of PFAS during remedial investigations. This documentation states that, if background concentrations of PFAS are found to be above the PFAS maximum contaminant levels, DOD Components will work with regulators and the public to determine the appropriate final cleanup levels at that site.

Conclusions

DOD has spent billions since 2017 investigating and starting to clean up PFAS at its current and former installations. DOD has completed most preliminary assessments and site inspections at military installations identified with potential PFAS releases and has taken more than 100 interim removal actions to address immediate health concerns associated with PFAS exposure through drinking water or prevent mitigation of PFAS through groundwater, soil, or sediment. DOD has also made progress in the PFAS remedial investigations to determine the release source, exposure pathway, nature and extent of contamination, and level of risk to human health, with investigations underway at more than half of the installations identified to have had a release of PFAS. DOD also reports on the thoroughness and pace of its efforts to Congress and has taken

⁶⁴U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, *Role of Background in the CERCLA Cleanup Program*, OSWER 9285.6-07P (Apr. 26, 2002).

steps to address significant challenges, including limited technology, scale of contamination, and changing regulations.

However, the department reports limited information about the full estimated future costs to be incurred by DOD for PFAS investigations and cleanup. Investigating and cleaning up PFAS are costly and time-intensive endeavors, and DOD's estimated costs for completing its efforts have more than tripled since 2022. The department acknowledges that the estimated future costs are likely to increase significantly since full contamination is unknown until remedial investigations are completed. While DOD knows there will be future PFAS investigation and, likely, cleanup needed at 580 installations, DOD has not communicated information to Congress about total fiscal exposures for future PFAS remediation. By including additional information and a detailed explanation and examples of how changing assumptions about key cost drivers may affect future cost estimates, Congress would have greater visibility on the agency's total fiscal exposure and be better equipped to make decisions regarding future funding for PFAS investigation and cleanup activities.

Recommendation for Executive Action

The Secretary of Defense should ensure that the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition and Sustainment includes in DOD's semiannual report to Congress on costs for investigating and cleaning up PFAS or other congressional reporting mechanism: (1) additional information regarding DOD's potential total fiscal exposure related to PFAS investigation and cleanup, including cost estimates at BRAC and other sites expected to be funded by DOD appropriations, and (2) a detailed explanation and examples of how changing assumptions about key cost drivers may affect future cost estimates. This additional information could be informed by a risk and uncertainty analyses of changing key cost drivers relating to PFAS investigation and cleanup. (Recommendation 1)

Agency Comments and Our Evaluation

We provided a draft of this report to DOD for review and comment. In written comments, reprinted in appendix VII, DOD partially concurred with the recommendation. DOD separately provided technical comments, which we incorporated as appropriate.


DOD partially concurred with our recommendation. In its written comments, DOD stated that the department will provide the requested information in the Defense Environmental Programs Annual Report to Congress rather than the semi-annual report to Congress on costs for investigating and cleaning up per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances. DOD believes that this report is more appropriate because it is a

comprehensive report (i.e., it includes Base Realignment and Closure locations, whereas the semi-annual report on costs for investigating and cleaning up PFAS does not) and it is enduring, as it is required by statute.

We believe DOD's proposed action would meet the intent of the recommendation aimed at providing Congress with greater visibility into additional billions of dollars needed for PFAS investigation and cleanup activities at DOD sites. Therefore, we modified the recommendation to state that DOD can provide the requested information in either the semi-annual report to Congress on costs for investigating and cleaning up per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances or other congressional reporting mechanism.

We are sending copies of this report to the appropriate congressional committees and the Secretary of Defense. In addition, the report is available at no charge on the GAO website at <http://www.gao.gov>.

If you or your staff have any questions about this report, please contact Alissa H. Czyz at (202) 512-4300 or CzyzA@gao.gov. Contact points for our Offices of Congressional Relations and Public Affairs may be found on the last page of this report. GAO staff who made key contributions to this report are listed in appendix VIII.



Alissa H. Czyz
Director, Defense Capabilities and Management

List of Congressional Committees

The Honorable Roger Wicker
Chairman

The Honorable Jack Reed
Ranking Member
Committee on Armed Services
United States Senate

The Honorable Mitch McConnell
Chair

The Honorable Christopher Coons
Ranking Member
Subcommittee on Defense
Committee on Appropriations
United States Senate

The Honorable John Boozman
Chair

The Honorable John Ossoff
Ranking Member
Subcommittee on Military Construction, Veterans' Affairs,
and Related Agencies
Committee on Appropriations
United States Senate

The Honorable Mike Rogers
Chairman

The Honorable Adam Smith
Ranking Member
Committee on Armed Services
House of Representatives

The Honorable Ken Calvert
Chairman

The Honorable Betty McCollum
Ranking Member
Subcommittee on Defense
Committee on Appropriations
House of Representatives

The Honorable John R. Carter
Chairman
The Honorable Debbie Wasserman Schultz
Ranking Member
Subcommittee on Military Construction, Veterans' Affairs,
and Related Agencies
Committee on Appropriations
House of Representatives

Appendix I: Objectives, Scope, and Methodology

The National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2024 includes a provision for us to examine the state of ongoing testing and remediation by the Department of Defense (DOD) of current or former military installations contaminated with per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS).¹ This report 1) describes the status of DOD's efforts to test and remediate PFAS at current or former military installations; 2) assesses the extent to which DOD has analyzed whether its efforts to test and remediate PFAS are on pace, thorough, and cost-effective; and (3) describes the steps DOD has taken to address challenges related to testing and remediation PFAS at current or former military installations.

The scope of our review focuses on DOD's PFAS testing and remediation activities. While PFAS refers to a group of thousands of chemicals, DOD's activities are focused on 10 specific chemicals with final peer-reviewed toxicity values, according to DOD documentation. These specific chemicals include: perfluorooctane sulfonate (PFOS),² perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA), perfluorobutanoic acid (PFBA), perfluorobutanesulfonic acid (PFBS), perfluorononanoic acid (PFNA), perfluorohexanoic acid (PFHxA), perfluorohexanesulfonic acid (PFHxS), bis(trifluoromethylsulfonyl)amine (TFSI), hexafluoropropylene oxide dimer acid (HFPO-DA or GenX), and perfluoropropanoic acid (PFPrA). For the purposes of this report, when we refer to "PFAS" generally, we are referring to this group of 10 chemicals. When activities were specific to a PFAS chemical, the chemical(s) are specified.

To describe the status of DOD's efforts to test and remediate PFAS at current or former military installations, we requested and analyzed data from fiscal years 2017 to June 2024 on the status of the department's PFAS investigation and cleanup efforts. We also reviewed DOD reports to Congress on the status of its PFAS investigations and cleanup. We analyzed the data and these reports to determine (1) the number of installations identified by DOD as potentially having a release of PFAS, (2) the number of installations that have completed the preliminary assessment and site inspection step of the cleanup process, (3) the number of installations that have the remedial investigation and feasibility

¹National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2024, Pub. L. No. 118-31, § 336, 137 Stat. 136, 223 (2023).

²An alternative name for perfluorooctane sulfonate is perfluorooctanesulfonic acid; both refer to the same chemical, which is abbreviated as PFOS.

study step underway, and (4) the number of installations where interim removal actions are being or will be performed.³

Additionally, we requested and analyzed data on DOD's interim removal actions performed by DOD components to address releases of certain PFAS on base and off base.⁴ We checked the reliability of the data by reviewing relevant documentation, testing the data for obvious errors, and interviewing knowledgeable officials. We determined the data to be sufficiently reliable for the purpose of reporting the status of DOD installations where PFAS testing and remediation is taking place. We reviewed DOD guidance, including the PFAS Task Force memorandums, which provide guidance on issues such as public disclosure of testing results and implementing screening levels. We also reviewed DOD guidance to understand reporting requirements. In addition, we interviewed knowledgeable DOD and service environmental restoration officials, including officials from the department's PFAS Task Force, to gain an understanding of how DOD identified installations with a potential for having a release of PFAS, how DOD conducted preliminary assessments and site inspections, and how the department tracks and monitors the status of its PFAS investigation and cleanup efforts.

To assess the extent to which DOD has analyzed whether its efforts to test and remediate PFAS are on pace, thorough, and cost-effective, we analyzed DOD data on the department's PFAS investigation and cleanup efforts as well as DOD reports to Congress. For assessing pace, we analyzed variables such as the estimated and actual start and end dates of steps within the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA) process, including the preliminary assessment and site inspection step and the remedial investigation and feasibility study step. We used these variables to calculate the average amount of time it took each DOD component to complete preliminary assessments and site inspections. We also calculated the average amount of estimated time it will take each DOD

³DOD is following the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA) cleanup process to address releases of PFAS from DOD activities. The preliminary assessment/site inspection and remedial investigation/feasibility study are steps in the CERCLA cleanup process. For a description of the phases in a typical CERCLA cleanup, see figure 2 in this report ([GAO-25-107401](#)).

⁴At any point during the CERCLA process, DOD may perform a removal action where there is a release or substantial threat of a release into the environment of any hazardous substance, or where there is a release or substantial threat of a release into the environment of any pollutant or contaminant which may present an imminent and substantial danger to the public health or welfare.

component to complete remedial investigations and feasibility studies. Moreover, we used prior GAO work to produce a comparison of the number of installations, by DOD component, that had completed the preliminary assessment and site inspection or had begun the remedial investigation and feasibility study between the fourth quarter of 2020 and the third quarter of 2024.⁵

For assessing thoroughness, we analyzed select preliminary assessment and site inspection documentation; analyzed Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) actions related to PFAS-related actions and DOD's response; reviewed DOD guidance and policies, such as the department's manual on environmental restoration; and interviewed DOD officials on the thoroughness of their PFAS investigation and cleanup efforts. Specifically, we randomly selected a nongeneralizable sample of 18 installations that were identified to have a potential release of PFAS. These installations were selected from a range of cost and pace categories. For these selected installations, we requested and analyzed available preliminary assessment and site inspection documentation to identify specific activities, including environmental analyses, land surveying, and sampling procedures.

For assessing cost, we analyzed estimated and actual cost data. Specifically, we compared the total future estimated costs of PFAS testing and remediation that were calculated in 2022, 2023, and 2024.⁶ We reviewed EPA regulations, such as the PFAS National Primary Drinking Water Regulation, and DOD's related implementing guidance. In addition, we also reviewed DOD guidance to determine the timeline for each CERCLA phase, processes to ensure thoroughness, and cost reporting requirements.

In addition, we interviewed knowledgeable DOD and service environmental restoration officials to gain an understanding of how DOD analyzes the pace, thoroughness, and cost of its PFAS investigation and

⁵GAO, Firefighting Foam Chemicals: DOD Is Investigating PFAS and Responding to Contamination, but Should Report More Cost Information, [GAO-21-421](#) (Washington D.C.: June 22, 2021).

⁶Office of the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition and Sustainment, *Report on Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances Active Sites Cleanup Costs* (June 2022); Office of the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition and Sustainment, *Report on Active, National Guard, and Formerly Used Defense Sites Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances Cleanup Costs* (June 2023); and Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Energy, Installations, and Environment, *Report on Active, National Guard, and Formerly Used Defense Sites Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances Cleanup Costs* (May 2024).

cleanup efforts, how new and upcoming PFAS-related regulations and policies could affect the thoroughness of DOD's PFAS testing and remediation, and the department's challenges in estimating the future costs. We also interviewed EPA officials to gain an understanding of new and upcoming PFAS-related regulations, policies, and guidance, including maximum containment levels, and the designation as a hazardous substance under CERCLA.

To describe the steps DOD has taken to address challenges related to testing and remediation PFAS at current or former military installations, we obtained and analyzed DOD and EPA documentation and interviewed relevant officials. For example, we reviewed DOD documentation related to upcoming technologies that DOD is funding.⁷ We also reviewed EPA documentation for PFAS testing methods as well as EPA documentation on regional screening levels and on upcoming PFAS-related actions to assess the evolving regulations and guidance challenge. We interviewed DOD, Defense Logistics Agency (DLA), and service environmental restoration officials to gain an understanding of the challenges the department faces in testing and remediating releases of PFAS and the steps they take to address each.

During our review, we interviewed officials from various EPA offices as well as DOD officials from the following Office of the Secretary of Defense, DLA, Army, Air Force, and Navy organizations:

- Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Energy, Installations, and Environment
- Defense Logistics Agency
- Office of the Deputy Assistant Secretary of the Army, Environment, Safety, and Occupational Health
- Office of the Deputy Assistant Secretary of the Air Force, Environment, Safety, and Infrastructure
- Office of the Deputy Assistant Secretary of the Navy, Environment & Mission Readiness
- Office of the Chief of Naval Operations
- Office of Naval Facilities Engineering Systems Command

⁷Strategic Environmental Research and Development Program and Environmental Security Technology Certification Program, *Navy Expands Use of PFAS Removal Technology Following ESTCP Demonstration*, (July 16, 2024).

**Appendix I: Objectives, Scope, and
Methodology**

We conducted this performance audit from February 2024 to February 2025 in accordance with generally accepted government auditing standards. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives.

Appendix II: Overview of GAO Work on Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances

Since 2017, we have conducted several reviews related to the Department of Defense's (DOD) efforts to address per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS) at its installations. Specifically:

- **Transitioning to PFAS-free alternatives.** In July 2024 we reported that DOD had taken some steps to implement the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2020 requirement that DOD discontinue the use of aqueous film-forming foam (AFFF)—a product used to fight flammable liquid fires—at its installations after October 1, 2024.¹ The military departments had developed implementation plans, schedules, and costs for replacing AFFF in all land-based mobile assets and facilities worldwide as well as developed specifications for the development of a fluorine-free foams that provides a PFAS-free alternative. However, we found that the military departments faced challenges to fully eliminate AFFF at DOD installations, including (1) compatibility issues with qualified fluorine-free foams, such as their inability to withstand certain temperatures; (2) substantial funding requirements, as initial estimates stand at over \$2.1 billion; and (3) DOD firefighters not fully trained in the use of fluorine-free foams. We did not have recommendations in this report.
- **Possible PFAS contamination at Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam.** In April 2024 we reported on Navy efforts to address PFAS at Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam.² After an accidental AFFF release at the installation, DOD took immediate actions to prevent PFAS contamination. We also reported on the status of DOD's environmental restoration program to address PFAS contamination from historic use of AFFF on the installation. DOD also took steps to prepare for the Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA) PFAS National Primary Drinking Water Regulation, which was issued on April 26, 2024, and establishes allowable limits of certain PFAS in drinking water. We did not have recommendations in this report.
- **Implementation of prohibition on procurement of PFAS.** In April 2023, we reported that DOD had taken some steps to implement the William M. (Mac) Thornberry National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2021 prohibitions on procurement of certain items containing specific PFAS, including updating procurement policy for

¹GAO, *Firefighting Foam: DOD Is Working to Address Challenges to Transitioning to PFAS-Free Alternatives*, [GAO-24-107322](#) (Washington, D.C.: July 8, 2024). The referenced statute allows for the Secretary of Defense to grant two 1-year waivers of this deadline.

²GAO, *Persistent Chemicals: Navy Efforts to Address PFAS at Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam*, [GAO-24-106812](#) (Washington, D.C.: Apr. 15, 2024).

contracting officers and guidance for procurement of goods by government purchase cards.³ However, we found that DOD (1) faced challenges because there was no federal law requiring items to be labeled as containing PFAS, and there were no EPA-validated methods to detect PFAS in products; (2) had not assessed how to prevent military exchanges from procuring and reselling certain goods that could contain PFAS; and (3) had not updated its sustainable procurement guidance to reflect statutory prohibitions.

As a result, DOD was at risk of continuing to procure items that contain certain statutorily prohibited PFAS. We made a matter for congressional consideration to align the item categories specified in the statutory prohibition with EPA information. We also made two recommendations to DOD to develop an approach for applying the statutory prohibition to the military exchanges and to update its sustainable procurement guidance. DOD concurred with these recommendations. As of March 2024, the matter for congressional consideration had not been implemented, and as of July 2024, the two recommendations have not been implemented.

- **DOD’s Environmental Restoration Program.** In June 2021, we reported that DOD had taken actions to begin the process of environmental restoration at or near installations with a known or suspected release of certain PFAS and had begun estimating costs for this process.⁴ DOD had also begun to identify potential fluorine-free foam candidates; however, we found that none had been able to fully meet DOD’s performance requirements. We recommended DOD annually include cost estimates for future PFAS investigation and cleanup—including their scope and any limitations—in its environmental report to Congress. DOD concurred with this recommendation and has implemented it.
- **Drinking water and elevated levels of PFAS.** In October 2017, we reported that DOD had taken action, at times in response to EPA and state orders, to address elevated levels of perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA) and perfluorooctane sulfonate (PFOS)—the two of the most widely used PFAS—in drinking water at or near military installations (e.g., by shutting down drinking water wells, providing alternative

³GAO, *Persistent Chemicals: Actions Needed to Improve DOD’s Ability to Prevent the Procurement of Items Containing PFAS*, [GAO-23-105982](#) (Washington, D.C.: Apr. 26, 2023).

⁴GAO, *Firefighting Foam Chemicals: DOD Is Investigating PFAS and Responding to Contamination but Should Report More Cost Information*, [GAO-21-421](#) (Washington, D.C.: June 22, 2021).

drinking water, or installing treatment systems).⁵ We made five recommendations to improve DOD's reporting and use of data on compliance with health-based drinking water advisories. DOD concurred with the recommendations and has implemented all of them.

We have also reported on other federal actions related to PFAS.

- **Addressing PFAS in public water systems.** In September 2024, we reported that the EPA will require certain public water systems to limit specific PFAS in drinking water starting in April 2019.⁶ We found that public water systems face challenges as they implement PFAS treatment methods. Of the public water systems we surveyed, 77 percent have yet to fully implement a treatment method. Of those that had, most said they need more information on how to safely dispose of the resulting waste. We made four recommendations, including that EPA establish a time frame for issuing additional resources to help systems communicate PFAS health risks to customers, and that EPA create a straightforward resource relevant to systems' disposal of PFAS-contaminated waste. EPA concurred with the recommendations. As of December 2024, the recommendations have not been implemented.
- **Actions to address PFAS overview.** In September 2023, we issued a report that provided a snapshot of our work on government and other efforts to detect PFAS, prevent exposure, and treat contamination.⁷ This report included an overview of actions that could be taken to better detect PFAS occurrence in drinking water, limit human exposure to PFAS, and treat PFAS contamination.
- **PFAS-related technologies.** In July 2022, we reported on challenges with PFAS assessment, detection, and treatment technologies.⁸ We developed three policy options that could help mitigate these challenges: promoting PFAS research, expanding development of

⁵GAO, *Drinking Water: DOD Has Acted on Some Emerging Contaminants but Should Improve Internal Reporting on Regulatory Compliance*, [GAO-18-78](#) (Washington, D.C.: Oct. 18, 2017).

⁶GAO, *Persistent Chemicals: Additional EPA Actions Could Help Public Water Systems Address PFAS in Drinking Water*, [GAO-24-106523](#) (Washington, D.C.: Sep. 24, 2024).

⁷GAO, *Persistent Chemicals: Detecting, Limiting Exposure to, and Treating PFAS Contamination*, [GAO-23-106970](#) (Washington, D.C.: Sept. 27, 2023).

⁸GAO, *Persistent Chemicals: Technologies for PFAS Assessment, Detection, and Treatment*, [GAO-22-105088](#) (Washington, D.C.: July 28, 2022).

PFAS detection methods, and supporting full-scale disposal and destruction treatments. These policy options involved possible actions by policymakers, which may include Congress, federal agencies, state and local governments, academia, and industry.

- **Demographics of communities with PFAS-contaminated drinking water.** In September 2022, we reported that some states were setting more stringent standards for PFAS contamination in drinking water than the EPA's 2016 lifetime health advisory levels.⁹ We examined six selected states where at least 18 percent of the states' 5,300 total water systems had at least two PFAS detected above EPA's 2022 interim revised health advisory levels. We found that EPA did not have information to determine the extent to which disadvantaged communities are exposed to PFAS in drinking water nationally. We recommended that EPA conduct a nationwide analysis using comprehensive data to determine the demographic characteristics of communities with PFAS in their drinking water. EPA concurred with the recommendation; however, as of May 2024, EPA has not implemented it.

⁹GAO, *Persistent Chemicals: EPA Should Use New Data to Analyze the Demographics of Communities with PFAS in Their Drinking Water*, [GAO-22-105135](#) (Washington, D.C.: Sept. 30, 2022).

Appendix III: Summary of the Department of Defense's Cleanup Process Under Selected Legislation

The Department of Defense (DOD) is investigating and cleaning up releases of per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS) from DOD activities under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA) cleanup framework, as generally set forth in the National Oil and Hazardous Substances Pollution Contingency Plan (NCP) at 40 C.F.R. Part 300. There are several steps in a typical CERCLA response that can be grouped into three general phases: the investigation, decision, and cleanup phases. The following provides a summary of these phases.

Investigation phase. The first step in the investigation phase is the preliminary assessment/site inspection. During the preliminary assessment, DOD reviews existing information to determine whether a hazardous substance, pollutant, or contaminant release requires additional investigation or action. A site inspection is an on-site investigation to determine whether there is a release or potential release and the nature of the associated threats. The site inspection typically involves sampling of environmental media (e.g., groundwater and soil) and the collection and analysis of other data. If further investigation is required, DOD proceeds to the remedial investigation/feasibility study step in the investigation phase. The remedial investigation emphasizes data collection and site characterization, and it is generally performed concurrently with a feasibility study. Using data gathered during the remedial investigation, the feasibility study is used to develop and evaluate options for remedial actions, enabling decision-makers to select a solution that is protective of human health and the environment. According to DOD, the investigation phases can take 4 to 9 years to complete.

Decision phase. The first step in the decision phase is the proposed plan, in which DOD is to summarize the remedial investigation/feasibility study and highlight the key factors that led to identifying the preferred alternative for addressing the contamination. DOD must make the proposed plan available for public comment, notify the stakeholders of the opportunity to review and comment, and provide an opportunity for a stakeholder meeting.¹ Following public comment, DOD selects the

¹Stakeholders may include regulatory agencies, such as EPA and state regulators, as well as community advisory boards and property owners.

remedy and documents that decision in a record of decision.² DOD may also determine that no remedial action is necessary because the baseline risk assessment concludes that the site poses no unacceptable risks. The record of decision should describe all legal requirements and standards that apply to any selected remedial action. According to DOD, the decisions phase can vary depending on several factors, including the number of stakeholders involved and the length of the public comment period.

Cleanup phase. If cleanup is needed during the remedial design/remedial action-construction steps, DOD develops the design plans and specifications of the remediation option selected. DOD then constructs or implements the selected remedial alternative at the site. During the remedial action-operation step, DOD operates, maintains, and monitors actions for the remediation system and site until the remedial action objectives are met (e.g., attaining certain concentration levels for a contaminant at the site). DOD officials stated that options for long-term remediation of PFAS may include pumping and treating contaminated groundwater or disposing of contaminated soil. According to DOD, the cleanup phase could take decades to complete.

Long-term management phase. Once DOD has met the remedial action objectives for a site, DOD may be required to monitor the long-term protectiveness of the remedy during the long-term management phase. This phase is required when the remedial action objectives do not allow unrestricted use of the property.

Interim removal actions. At any point during the CERCLA process, DOD may perform a removal action where there is a release or substantial threat of a release into the environment of any hazardous substance, or where there is a release or substantial threat of a release into the environment of any pollutant or contaminant which may present an imminent and substantial danger to the public health or welfare. Certain types of removal actions, such as providing bottled water, do not provide the protection or permanence of long-term remediation. Other types of removal actions, such as connecting homes to a public water system, may ultimately serve as a final response action under CERCLA if certain criteria are met, including that the release no longer presents a threat to public health or the environment. Interim actions may not be the final

²For cleanup sites in the National Priorities List, EPA and DOD will jointly select the remedy in accordance with CERCLA. If no agreement can be reached, the remedy is selected by EPA.

**Appendix III: Summary of the Department of
Defense's Cleanup Process Under Selected
Legislation**

solution and the site may continue through the CERCLA process after a removal action is implemented.

Appendix IV: Details on Specific Types of Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances Subject to Federal Guidance and Regulation

Health advisory levels. Under the Safe Drinking Water Act, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) issues drinking water health advisories to provide information on contaminants not subject to drinking water regulations, including those that can cause adverse human health effects and that are known or anticipated to occur in drinking water. In 2016, EPA issued lifetime drinking water health advisories for the following per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS):

- Perfluorooctane sulfonic acid or perfluorooctane sulfonate (PFOS)
- Perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA)

In 2022, EPA issued interim, revised, substantially lower health advisories for PFOA and PFOS, and issued final lifetime drinking water health advisories for the following PFAS:

- Hexafluoropropylene oxide dimer acid (HFPO-DA) and its ammonium salt (commonly referred to as “GenX Chemicals”)
- Perfluorobutane sulfonic acid and its potassium salt (PFBS)

Maximum contaminant levels. The Safe Drinking Water Act authorizes EPA to establish legally enforceable standards for public water systems—called National Primary Drinking Water Regulations—that generally limit the levels of specific contaminants in drinking water. In April 2024, EPA finalized the PFAS National Primary Drinking Water Regulation, which establishes the following maximum contaminant levels for the PFAS listed below in drinking water:

- PFOA = 4 ppt
- PFOS = 4 ppt
- Perfluorohexanesulfonic acid (PFHxS) = 10 ppt
- Perfluorononanoic acid (PFNA) = 10 ppt
- Hexafluoropropylene oxide dimer acid (HFPO-DA) = 10 ppt
- Mixtures containing two or more of PFHxS, PFNA, HFPO-DA, and PFBS = 1 (unitless) hazard index


Appendix V: Timeline of Selected Federal Actions Related to Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances

The Department of Defense (DOD) has generally incorporated the Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA's) per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS) drinking water health advisories, regional screening levels, and maximum contaminant levels into the department's efforts to address PFAS contamination under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980, as amended (CERCLA).¹ See figure 7 for a summary of recent selected EPA PFAS-related actions and the department's response.

¹CERCLA does not establish regulatory standards for the cleanup of specific substances, but rather requires that long-term cleanups comply with applicable or relevant and appropriate requirements. These may include a host of federal and state standards – such as maximum contaminant levels – that generally regulate exposure to contaminants.

Appendix V: Timeline of Selected Federal Actions Related to Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances

Figure 7: Summary of Recent Selected Environmental Protection Agency’s (EPA) Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances (PFAS) Related Actions and the Department of Defense’s (DOD) Response



Source: GAO analysis of EPA actions, laws, and regulations; GAO (icons). | GAO-25-107401

Appendix V: Timeline of Selected Federal Actions Related to Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances

Note: DOD's PFAS investigation and cleanup are focused on 10 specific PFAS. For more information about these specific chemicals, see appendix I in this report (GAO-25-107401).

^a*Lifetime Health Advisories and Health Effects Support Documents for Perfluorooctanoic Acid and Perfluorooctane Sulfonate*, 81 Fed. Reg. 33250 (May 25, 2016). Drinking water health advisories are nonenforceable and nonregulatory, but rather provide information on the health risk of identified but unregulated contaminants.

^bRegional Screening Levels are risk-based levels used by agencies to identify CERCLA cleanup sites that may need further investigation or action.

^c*Lifetime Drinking Water Health Advisories for Four Perfluoroalkyl Substances*, 87 Fed. Reg. 36848 (June 21, 2022).

^dDesignation of Perfluorooctanoic Acid (PFOA) and Perfluorooctanesulfonic Acid (PFOS) as *CERCLA Hazardous Substances*, 87 Fed. Reg. 54415 (Sept. 6, 2022).

^e*PFAS National Primary Drinking Water Regulation Rulemaking*, 88 Fed. Reg. 18638 (Mar. 29, 2023). EPA proposed establishing individual maximum contaminant levels for PFOA and PFOS, and proposed establishing a maximum contaminant level for any mixture containing one or more of four other PFAS using a Hazard Index.

^fListing of Specific PFAS as Hazardous Constituents, 89 Fed. Reg. 8606 (Feb. 8, 2024); *Definition of Hazardous Waste Applicable to Corrective Action for Releases From Solid Waste Management Units*, 89 Fed. Reg. 8598 (Feb. 8, 2024). The first rule would add nine PFAS, their salts, and structural isomers to the list of RCRA hazardous constituents; the second would amend the definition of hazardous waste as it applies to cleanups at permitted hazardous waste facilities. According to EPA officials, the second proposed rule would more clearly provide EPA authority to address releases from permitted hazardous waste facilities, not only of hazardous waste and constituents listed or identified in EPA regulations, but also of all substances that meet Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976, as amended (RCRA's) statutory definition of hazardous waste.

^g*PFAS National Primary Drinking Water Regulation*, 89 Fed. Reg. 32532 (Apr. 26, 2024). In the final rule, EPA set individual maximum contaminant levels for five PFAS and a maximum contaminant level for any mixtures containing two or more of certain PFAS. In total, the rule covers six types of PFAS.

^h*Designation of Perfluorooctanoic Acid (PFOA) and Perfluorooctanesulfonic Acid (PFOS) as CERCLA Hazardous Substances*, 89 Fed. Reg. 39124 (May 8, 2024).

ⁱAt any point during the CERCLA process, DOD may perform a removal action where there is a release, or threat of release, of hazardous substances, pollutants, or contaminants to the environment that poses an immediate threat to public health or the environment.

^jThe Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense for Environment, Safety, and Occupational Health, *Addressing Perfluorooctane Sulfonate (PFOS) and Perfluorooctanoic Acid (PFOA)* (March 2018). This report was provided in response to language included in House Report 115-200, accompanying a bill for the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2018. Since this time, DOD has continued to identify installations with potential releases of PFAS and, as of March 2024, has identified 718 such installations.

^kAssistant Secretary of Defense Memorandum, *Department of Defense Guidance on Using State Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances (PFAS) Drinking Water Standards in Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act Removal Actions* (December 22, 2021).

Appendix VI: Selected Department of Defense Interim Removal Actions to Address Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances

The Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1980, as amended (CERCLA), authorizes the federal government—including the Department of Defense (DOD)—to respond to actual and threatened releases to the environment of (1) hazardous substances and (2) pollutants and contaminants that may pose an imminent and substantial danger to public health or the environment. CERCLA authorizes two types of cleanup actions: “removal” and “remedial” actions. Removal actions are usually short-term cleanups or other actions to address situations that pose immediate threats to human health or the environment. Remedial actions are generally long-term cleanups that aim to reduce contamination permanently and significantly.

As of June 2024, DOD has performed or is performing 85 on-base interim removal actions to address releases of certain per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS) that posed immediate health concerns and has planned for an additional 19 on-base interim removal actions. See table 6 for additional details on the types of on-base interim removals actions, by DOD component, that DOD has taken to mitigate PFAS plume migration or ongoing impacts to groundwater, surface water, and/or sediment, from an on-base PFAS source area.

Table 6: Types of Department of Defense (DOD) On-Base Interim Removal Actions, by DOD Component, to Address Releases of Certain Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances (PFAS), as of June 30, 2024

On-base Interim Removal actionS	Army	Air Force	Navy ^a	Defense Logistics Agency ^b	Formerly used Defense Sites ^c	Total
Interim Removal Action Addressing Contaminated Soil	2	2	4	1	0	9
Interim Removal Action Addressing Contaminated Groundwater	3	20	1	0	0	24
Interim Removal Action Addressing Contaminated Surface or Storm Water	1	7	1	0	0	9
Interim Removal Action Addressing Contaminated Landfill Leachate	0	3	0	0	0	3
Interim Removal Action Demonstrating New Technology	0	39	0	0	0	39
All Other Types of Interim Removal Actions	0	14	5	1	0	20
Total	6	85	11	2	0	104

Source: DOD PFAS data. | GAO-25-107401

Note: DOD is following the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA) cleanup process to address releases of PFAS from DOD activities. Under CERCLA, DOD may perform a removal action to address releases of PFAS from DOD activities. Under CERCLA, DOD may perform a removal action to address contamination that poses an immediate

**Appendix VI: Selected Department of Defense
Interim Removal Actions to Address Per- and
Polyfluoroalkyl Substances**

threat to public health or the environment. Certain types of removal actions, such as providing bottled water, typically may not provide the protection or permanence of long-term remediation. DOD's PFAS investigation and cleanup activities are focused on 10 specific PFAS. For more information about these specific chemicals, see appendix I in this report (GAO-25-107401).

^aThe Department of the Navy consists of two services—the United States Navy and the United States Marine Corps.

^bDefense Logistics Agency (DLA) is a combat support agency involved in hazardous waste storage or disposal as well as environmental compliance and cleanup. DLA installations include six fuel support points, one distribution center, and two supply centers.

^cFormerly Used Defense Sites are sites located on properties that were once under DOD's jurisdiction and owned, leased, or otherwise possessed by the United States at the time of the actions leading to contamination but were conveyed out of DOD's jurisdiction prior to October 17, 1986.

Additionally, as of June 30, 2024, 55 installations have performed off-base interim removal actions to mitigate the presence of perfluorooctane sulfonate (PFOS)¹ or perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA), which are two of the most widely used PFAS. Table 7 includes examples of off-base interim removal actions DOD took when PFAS were detected in drinking water samples at concentrations higher than the EPA's 2016 health advisory limit of 70 parts per trillion (ppt) for PFOA or PFOS.

¹*An alternative name for perfluorooctane sulfonate is perfluorooctanesulfonic acid; both refer to the same chemical, which is abbreviated as PFOS.*

**Appendix VI: Selected Department of Defense
Interim Removal Actions to Address Per- and
Polyfluoroalkyl Substances**

Table 7: Examples of Department of Defense (DOD) Off-Base Interim Removal Action Performed to Address Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances (PFAS) in Drinking Water and Groundwater

Defense Logistics Agency	In 2017, Defense Logistics Agency –Energy (DLA-E) collected groundwater samples from several site monitoring wells and one soil sample at the Defense Fuel Support Point Verona installation. Field work was conducted in late 2018 and early 2019 to collect an additional 16 soil samples from nine soil borings and two surface locations. Approximately 2,000 tons of soil was determined to be contaminated with PFAS and impacting the nearby groundwater. The impacted soil was excavated in 2020 and disposed of at an off-site landfill to mitigate the potential future impacts to groundwater and surface water.
Navy	In 2019, Navy officials at Saufley Field in Pensacola, Florida detected PFAS levels above 70 parts per trillion (ppt) in 13 out of 50 samples of drinking water in a residential community off base. For the people impacted by levels above 70 ppt, DOD provided alternative drinking water and created plans to connect the impacted homes to the municipal water source. Connections to the municipal water source were completed in quarter 4 of fiscal year 2022.
Air Force	In 2019 to 2021, Air Force officials at Luke Air Force Base in Maricopa County, Arizona, detected PFAS levels above 70ppt in three of 25 tested residential water wells within 1 to 4 miles of the base. Residents using the three wells were provided bottled water until properties could be connected to a local municipal water system. As of October 2023, the three properties have been connected to the local municipal water system.
Army	In 2020, Army officials at Yakima Training Center and officials with the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) in Washington state detected PFAS levels above 70 ppt in 69 water wells, impacting 85 households. Those households were provided bottled water to avoid exposure to PFAS. In August 2023, the first point of entry treatment filtration system was installed in a private home in East Selah, Washington (the nearby community impacted by the Yakima Training Center’s PFAS contamination).

Source: DOD documentation. | GAO-25-107401

Note: DOD’s PFAS investigation and cleanup activities are focused on 10 specific PFAS. For more information about these specific chemicals, see appendix I in this report (GAO-25-107401).

Appendix VII: Comments from the Department of Defense



ENERGY, INSTALLATIONS,
AND ENVIRONMENT

ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

3400 DEFENSE PENTAGON
WASHINGTON, DC 20301-3400

January 28, 2025

Ms. Alissa Czyz
Director, Defense Capabilities Management
U.S. Government Accountability Office
441 G Street, NW
Washington DC 20548

Dear Ms. Czyz,

This is the Department of Defense (DoD) response to the GAO Draft Report, GAO-25-107401, "PERSISTENT CHEMICALS: DOD Needs to Provide Congress More Information on Costs Associated with Addressing PFAS," dated December 18, 2024 (GAO Code 107401).

Enclosed is DoD's response to the subject report. My point of contact is Ms. Alexandria Long who can be reached at alexandria.d.long.civ@mail.mil and phone 703-863-8190.

Sincerely,

THOMPSON.ROBE
RT.E.1044046578

Digitally signed by
THOMPSON.ROBERT.E.104404
6578
Date: 2025.01.28 07:48:33 -0500

Robert E. Thompson
Acting

Enclosure:
As stated

GAO DRAFT REPORT DATED DECEMBER 18, 2024
GAO-25-107401 (GAO CODE 107401)

“PERSISTENT CHEMICALS: DOD NEEDS TO PROVIDE CONGRESS MORE
INFORMATION ON COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH ADDRESSING PFAS”

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE COMMENTS
TO THE GAO RECOMMENDATION

RECOMMENDATION 1: The Secretary of Defense should ensure that the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition and Sustainment includes in DoD's semi-annual report to Congress on costs for investigating and cleaning up PFAS: (1) additional information regarding DoD's potential total fiscal exposure related to PFAS investigation and cleanup, including cost estimates at BRAC and other sites expected to be funded by DoD appropriations; and (2) a detailed explanation and examples of how changing assumptions about key cost drivers may impact future cost estimates. This additional information could be informed by a risk and uncertainty analyses of changing key cost drivers relating to PFAS investigation and cleanup.

DoD RESPONSE: The Department partially concurs with the GAO recommendation. The Department will provide the requested information in the Defense Environmental Programs Annual Report to Congress (DEP ARC), rather than the “semi-annual report to Congress on costs for investigating and cleaning up per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS).” The Department agrees to provide to Congress (1) additional information regarding DoD’s potential total fiscal exposure related to PFAS investigation and cleanup, including cost estimates at Base Realignment and Closure (BRAC) and other sites expected to be funded by DoD appropriations; and (2) a detailed explanation and examples of how changing assumptions about key cost drivers may impact future cost estimates. However, DoD disagrees with including this information in the “semi-annual report to Congress on costs for investigating and cleaning up PFAS”. DoD will include this information in the annual report on defense environmental programs, known as the DEP ARC. The Department prepares this report pursuant to title 10, U.S. Code section 2711, which requires the Secretary of Defense to submit to Congress a report on progress made by environmental programs of the DoD during the preceding fiscal year. DoD believes that including the information requested in Recommendation 1 in the DEP ARC is more appropriate because the DEP ARC is a comprehensive report (i.e., it includes BRAC locations, whereas the semi-annual report on costs for investigating and cleaning up PFAS does not) and it is enduring, as it is required by statute.

Appendix VIII: GAO Contact and Staff Acknowledgments

GAO Contact

Alissa H. Czyz, (202) 512-4300 or czyzA@gao.gov

Staff Acknowledgments

In addition to the contact named above, Courtney Bond (Assistant Director), Laura Czohara (Analyst in Charge), Claudia Hadjigeorgiou, Amie Lesser, Meg McAloon, Betty Nen, Lillian Ofili, Carl Ramirez, Jack Wang, and Emily Wilson made key contributions to this report.

GAO's Mission

The Government Accountability Office, the audit, evaluation, and investigative arm of Congress, exists to support Congress in meeting its constitutional responsibilities and to help improve the performance and accountability of the federal government for the American people. GAO examines the use of public funds; evaluates federal programs and policies; and provides analyses, recommendations, and other assistance to help Congress make informed oversight, policy, and funding decisions. GAO's commitment to good government is reflected in its core values of accountability, integrity, and reliability.

Obtaining Copies of GAO Reports and Testimony

The fastest and easiest way to obtain copies of GAO documents at no cost is through our website. Each weekday afternoon, GAO posts on its [website](#) newly released reports, testimony, and correspondence. You can also [subscribe](#) to GAO's email updates to receive notification of newly posted products.

Order by Phone

The price of each GAO publication reflects GAO's actual cost of production and distribution and depends on the number of pages in the publication and whether the publication is printed in color or black and white. Pricing and ordering information is posted on GAO's website, <https://www.gao.gov/ordering.htm>.

Place orders by calling (202) 512-6000, toll free (866) 801-7077, or TDD (202) 512-2537.

Orders may be paid for using American Express, Discover Card, MasterCard, Visa, check, or money order. Call for additional information.

Connect with GAO

Connect with GAO on [Facebook](#), [Flickr](#), [X](#), and [YouTube](#).
Subscribe to our [RSS Feeds](#) or [Email Updates](#). Listen to our [Podcasts](#).
Visit GAO on the web at <https://www.gao.gov>.

To Report Fraud, Waste, and Abuse in Federal Programs

Contact FraudNet:

Website: <https://www.gao.gov/about/what-gao-does/fraudnet>

Automated answering system: (800) 424-5454 or (202) 512-7700

Congressional Relations

A. Nicole Clowers, Managing Director, ClowersA@gao.gov, (202) 512-4400, U.S. Government Accountability Office, 441 G Street NW, Room 7125, Washington, DC 20548

Public Affairs

Sarah Kaczmarek, Managing Director, KaczmarekS@gao.gov, (202) 512-4800, U.S. Government Accountability Office, 441 G Street NW, Room 7149 Washington, DC 20548

Strategic Planning and External Liaison

Stephen J. Sanford, Managing Director, spel@gao.gov, (202) 512-4707 U.S. Government Accountability Office, 441 G Street NW, Room 7814, Washington, DC 20548



Please Print on Recycled Paper.